INTERNATIONAL SEISMOLOGICAL CENTRE (ISC)

2019

Annual Director's Report



It was another hugely productive year for the ISC thanks to the support of 68 Member-Institutions and 13 Project Sponsors and despite the local government in West Berkshire taxing the ISC at the standard business rate. To alleviate the problem, the ISC has been considering registration with the Charity Commission for England and Wales.

The data for seismic events during 2016-2019 have been added to the ISC Bulletin. The ISC Bulletin has been rebuilt and released for the period 1985-1990. The ISC continued using openly available waveforms to determine source mechanisms, based on first onset polarities, and to constrain earthquake depths, based on depth phase pickings. Smaller continental earthquakes during the 1980-1990 period and several hundreds of source mechanisms from literature have been added to the ISC-GEM catalogue. We released the ISC-EHB dataset and corresponding subduction zone cross-sections for the entire period (1964-2016). We began operating the ISC Seismological Dataset Repository.

Both the ISC download statistics and the large number of scientific research articles indicates an extensive worldwide use of ISC data.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
STAFF and CONTRACTORS	5
OPERATIONS	8
INTERNATIONAL SEISMOGRAPH STATION REGISTRY (IR) as part of the ADSL DATABASE	8
COLLECTING PRELIMINARY NETWORK BULLETINS	10
BUILDING the PRELIMINARY ISC BULLETIN	12
COLLECTING REVISED NETWORK BULLETINS	12
BULLETIN REVIEW	
GENERAL STATISTICS of the ISC BULLETIN	
PRINTED SUMMARY of the BULLETIN of the ISC	21
IASPEI REFERENCE EVENT LIST (GT)	22
ISC EVENT BIBLIOGRAPHY	23
SEISMOLOGICAL CONTACTS	
ISC WEB and FTP SITES	25
ISC DATABASE, WEBSITE BACKUP and MIRRORS	
DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS	27
ISC BULLETIN REBUILD	27
COMPUTING EARTHQUAKE FOCAL MECHANISMS at the ISC	31
IN-HOUSE WAVEFORM PICKING for USE in the ISC BULLETIN	32
ISC SEISMOLOGICAL DATASET REPOSITORY	
ISC-EHB (1964-2016) RELEASED	35
ADVANCEMENT of the ISC-GEM CATALOGUE	
PROBABILISTIC STF, MT and DEPTH INVERTIONS	38
CTBTO LINK to the ISC DATABASE	40
FINANCE	
INCOME	42
EXPENDITURE	42
RESERVES	43
CASH FLOW	
SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY AWARENESS	
VISITORS to the ISC	44
CONFERENCES, MEETINGS, WORKSHOPS, TRAINING COURSES	45
ISC STAFF VISITING OTHER INSTITUTIONS	46
ISC PRIZES: UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD	46
SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS BY ISC STAFF	47
REFERENCES	47
APPENDIX 1: STANDARD BULLETIN REPORTERS	50
APPENDIX 2: ISC DATA in RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS	53

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- □ The ISC gratefully acknowledges generous support received from **68 Member-Institutions in 48 countries** and additional project grants (**24%** of total income) from CTBTO, USGS, BGR, FM Global, Lighthill Risk Network, US NSF, NIPR, JAMSTEC, MS&AD InterRisk as well as sponsorships from Reftek, GeoSIG, Guralp and SRC.
- □ The 2019 annual income exceeded the ISC's expenditure by £39,869, which partly alleviated a loss of £44,454 in year 2018.
- □ A comparatively small amount (£6,744) is owed for accounting year 2019 (£117,683 in 2018); we praise those ISC Members who pay their dues promptly, when invoiced.
- □ The local government in West Berkshire continued charging the ISC with full business rates; to alleviate the problem, the ISC has been considering registration of its non-for-profit status with the Charity Commission for England and Wales.
- □ At any one time, 16-19 staff members, one contractor and one member of the Earth Science Department of the University of Oxford worked at the ISC during the year.
- □ 913 seismic stations were registered or modified in the International Seismograph Station Registry.
- □ Within a few days after an event occurrence, the ISC collected and grouped preliminary data from 32 networks and made the **Preliminary ISC Bulletin** available to all users.
- □ The routine process of collecting revised bulletins from various institutions stood at 12 months behind real time; a number of agencies were not able to comply with this deadline and inadvertently hindered the ISC Bulletin analysis.
- □ Due to the analyst's efforts being partly diverted to the completion of the Rebuild of the historical part of the ISC Bulletin, less than 12 recent full data months were added to the Reviewed ISC Bulletin with ~60,000 events and ~7.7 million seismic arrivals; the entire Bulletin was enlarged by ~683,000 seismic events and ~24.3 million associated seismic arrivals.
- □ ~8,500 ISC focal mechanisms covering the period from 1980 to March 2017 have been added to the ISC Bulletin based on reported first motion polarities and those automatically picked by the ISC using waveforms available on-line.
- □ We also continued waveform picking of depth phases (global) and general seismic phases (Africa) to fill in gaps in agency reporting.
- □ The ISC Bulletin remains more complete than the bulletins of either NEIC or IDC.
- □ By the end of 2019, we were only a few data months short of completing the review of the Rebuild ISC Bulletin for the entire project period 1964-2010.

- □ We released two further issues of the printed **Summary of the ISC Bulletin**, which included several invited network related articles; each issue and each invited article now has its own DOI registered via the ISC's membership of CrossRef.
- □ The ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue has been advanced to include many small continental earthquakes during 1980-1990 and several hundreds of earthquake mechanisms from scientific literature.
- □ References to ~2,000 scientific articles related to ~6,400 seismic events have been added to the **ISC Event Bibliography.**
- □ We continued operating and improving the **CTBTO Link to the ISC database** which experienced a steady stream of data requests from NDC and IDC personnel.
- □ The ISC database and the website mirrors at IRIS DMC in Seattle, ERI in Tokyo, LLNL in Livermore and CEA in Beijing/Xian guaranteed improved speed of access to ISC data.
- □ We continued updating and distributing the IASPEI Reference (**GT**) Event List and the List of **International Contacts in Seismology**.
- □ We released the **ISC-EHB** dataset for the entire period 1964-2016, complete with a collection of regional seismicity cross-sections; the EHB is now truly obsolete.
- □ Two new ISC-EHB analysts have been trained.
- □ We released a new ISC product the Seismological Dataset Repository
- □ The ISC staff published several scientific articles describing the production methods and availability of the ISC datasets.
- □ We attended a number of international and regional scientific and industry conferences.
- □ We helped the University of Edinburgh to prepare and run the 2nd British Seismology Meeting (**BSM-2019**), the tradition originally devised by the ISC in 2017.
- □ The ISC has contributed to the work of **IASPEI** by maintaining the IASPEI website, leading several working groups and working at the IASPEI ExecCom.
- □ Impressive ISC data download statistics and a large number of published scientific articles using ISC data indicate a very wide and extensive use of ISC products by many researchers worldwide.

Signed, 5th May 2020

Dr. Dmitry A. Storchak Director

STAFF and CONTRACTORS

A total of 20 members of staff (16-19 at any one time), one contractor and one member of staff from University of Oxford worked at the ISC throughout the year, thanks to the regular Member's support and a number of additional grants given to the ISC by international institutions, public institutions and commercial companies to work on the ISC-GEM Catalogue, CTBTO Link, Station Registry and ISC Event Bibliography. Staff changes through the year are highlighted in red or light blue.

Among the staff, there were 6 Ph.D., 6 M.Sc. or equivalent, and 4 B.Sc. or equivalent degrees. The ISC staff represents 11 different countries from 4 continents. Several members of staff took part in professional meetings, travelled to international conferences and participated in professional training programmes.

ISC staff often organise sessions at scientific conferences. Several ISC staff are members of professional organizations such as IASPEI, EGU, AGU and SSA. ISC staff members are engaged in the IASPEI's Executive Committee, commissions and working groups.

MANAGEMENT and ADMINISTRATION



Dmitry Storchak, Ph.D. Director/Seismologist Russia/UK



Lynn Elms Administration Officer *UK*

SYSTEM ADMINISTRATION and WEB DEVELOPMENT



James Harris Senior System & DB Administrator, UK



Alfie Barber Systems Administrator, UK, left in August



Gergely Csontos Web Developer, Hungary, left in January



Oliver Rea, B.Sc. Systems Administrator, UK, joined in October

BULLETIN DATA COLLECTION and ENTRY

The Data Collection Officer communicates with agencies and manages routine automatic entry of reported data. The Historical and Bibliographical Data Entry Officer helps with entering paper-based data into the ISC database and maintaining the ISC Event Bibliography.



John Eve, B.Sc. Data Collection Officer UK



Daniela Olaru M.Sc.Admin., Historical and Bibliographical Data Entry Officer, *Romania*

ANALYSIS TEAM: STANDARD and REBUILT BULLETINS

Ten analysts were engaged in reviewing the current ISC Bulletin. Each member of this team has an additional task either in development projects or in data collection. All of them took part in the Rebuild of the historical ISC Bulletin.



Lonn Brown, M.Sc. Seismologist/ Analyst Administrator, Canada, left in November



Elizabeth Ayres, B.Sc. Geog., Analyst/Historical Data Officer, *UK*



Kathrin Lieser, Ph.D. Seismologist / Analyst Administrator / Editor of the Summary *Germany*



Rebecca Verney, B.Sc., Analyst, 3 days a week, *UK*



Blessing Shumba, M.Sc. Seismologist / Senior Analyst, Zimbabwe



Rosemary Hulin, M.Phys. Geog., 3 days a week, UK



Gharikleia Gkarlaouni, M.Sc.,Seismologist/Analyst, *Greece*



Peter Franek, Ph.D., Seismologist/Analyst, *Slovakia*



Angeliki Adamaki, PhD,Seismologist/Analyst, Greece, left in July



Burak Sakarya, M.Sc., Seismologist/Analyst, *Turkey*

DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS



Domenico Di Giacomo Ph.D. Senior Seismologist *Italy*



Kostas Lentas Ph.D. Seismologist/Developer *Greece*



Thomas Garth PDRA, Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford, part-funded by the ISC, UK

CONTRACTORS

During the year, the following person also contributed to the ISC as contractor:

• E.R. Engdahl, Ph.D., *Boulder*, *USA*: taking leading role in preparing the ISC-EHB dataset;

OPERATIONS

INTERNATIONAL SEISMOGRAPH STATION REGISTRY (IR) as part of the ADSL DATABASE

The International Seismograph Station Registry (IR) allocates globally unique codes to seismic stations worldwide.



Figure 1. 27,451 stations, open or closed, were fully registered in the International Seismographic Station Registry at the end of 2019; parameters of 913 of those (in red) were either registered or modified during 2019.

During 2019, the IR has been particularly improved and extended in Europe, the Mediterranean, Southern Africa, Australia, North and Central America (Fig. 1) as part of:

- regular ISC Bulletin work,
- inclusion of additional or missing datasets into the ISC Rebuilt Bulletin,
- update of the IASPEI Reference Event (GT) List and
- participation in the CTBTO initiative on Regional Seismic Travel Times (RSTT).

The ISC runs a popular web page giving an account of already registered stations as well as inviting the submission of parameters required to register a new station. Figure 2 gives an account of the IR related web searches, per country.

In fact, the IR has become part of the ADSL database (Agency.Deployment.Station.Location) which we designed and continue maintaining jointly with the NEIC. The ISC maintains the agency.deployment "ISC.IR" as a subset of ADSL. In order to use all waveform data available on-line, NEIC routinely updates the ADSL database with stations under the deployment codes equal to corresponding FDSN two-character network codes, based on

dataless mini-SEED files available at IRIS DMC. NEIC no longer needs the IR in day-to-day operations since they use waveforms of stations available on-line, usually with FDSN codes.

Now and in the future, the globally unique ISC.IR station codes will remain an exclusive source of station position information for the historical period of time. Also, the ISC.IR will continue to cover a large number of stations whose waveform data are not available to the international waveform data centres.



Figure 2. Per country statistics of IR websearches; some countries may be shown here due to them housing a VPNserver through which some user-searches are made; NEIC/USGS now searches directly through the ADSL database and is not shown here.

At present, for the majority of its standard operational activities, the ISC uses just the IR (almost equivalent to ISC.IR element of the ADSL database). In order to be able to deal with a multitude of additional stations becoming available largerly from NEIC, the ISC plans to

switch to working with the entire ADSL. To make this happen, a very large effort is required to update, test and validate almost the entire operational and web distribution computer code at the ISC. This work has begun in 2019 but progressed slowly due to major conflict with the Bulletin Rebuild project that required us to run two main ISC databases at the same time. This wasn't a good time to modify operational procedures.

Nevertheless, during 2019 we have:

- prepared for the ISC Bulletin distribution using the ISF2.1 format that includes ADSL station coding;
- studied the code of the ISC location program to see how to use it with the ADSL; potential difficulties related to ISC magnitude computation have been identified; we are therefore building plans to safeguard the ISC magnitude values from beeing skewed by data of the same station reported with different network codes or stations positioned very closely to each other;
- planned measures to be able to use the ISC-EHB software with ADSL.

Overall, the work on ADSL will continue throughout 2020-2021.

COLLECTING PRELIMINARY NETWORK BULLETINS

The ISC continues to collect preliminary bulletin data from a large number of networks and data centres. These data are expected to have undergone at least a minimal review by local analysts. Typically, the incoming data include a preliminary hypocentre location, magnitude estimates, moment tensor solution and station arrival data, though there are large variations from agency to agency. Agencies that reported preliminary data during year 2019 are shown in Table 1.

Country	Reporting Agency
Armenia	National Survey of Seismic Protection
Australia	Geoscience Australia
Austria	Zentralanstalt fur Meteorologie und Geodynamik (ZAMG)
Canada	Canadian Hazards Information Service, Natural Resources Canada
Cyprus	Cyprus Geological Survey Department
Czech Republic	Geophysical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Denmark	Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland
Egypt	National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics
Finland	Institute of Seismology, University of Helsinki
France	Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris
France	Centre Sismologique Euro-Mediterranean (CSEM/EMSC)
Germany	Helmholtz Centre Potsdam, GFZ Research Centre for Geosciences
Germany	Landeserdbebendienst Baden-Wurttemberg

Table 1. 32 agencies reported preliminary hypocentre determinations and corresponding arrival time data to the ISC in 2019.

ISC: Annual 2019 Director's Report

Hungary	Geodetic and Geophysical Research Institute
India	National Geophysical Research Institute
India	National Centre for Seismology, Ministry of Earth Sciences
Indonesia	Badan Meteorologi, Klimatologi dan Geofisika
Israel	Geophysical Institute of Israel
Italy	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia
Japan	Japan Meteorological Agency
Kyrgyzstan	Institute of Seismology, Academy of Sciences of Kyrgyz Republic
Norway	University of Bergen
Norway	Stiftelsen NORSAR
Romania	National Institute for Earth Physics
Russia	Geophysical Survey of Russian Academy of Sciences (GS RAS)
Russia	Baykal Regional Seismological Centre, GS RAS
Russia	Kamchatka Branch, GS RAS
Slovenia	Slovenian Environment Agency
Spain	Instituto Geografico Nacional
UK	British Geological Survey
USA	NEIC, USGS
USA	Pacific Tsunami Warning Center

There are 19 agencies that produce bulletins soon after an event occurrence and never return to event re-analysis unless there is a special need (Table 2). These agencies can be considered as reporting both preliminary and final bulletins at the same time.

Australia	Geoscience Australia
Austria	International Data Centre, CTBTO
Chinese Taipei	Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica
France	Laboratoire de Detection et de Geophysique/CEA
French Polynesia	Laboratoire de Geophysique/CEA
Germany	Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research
Germany	GFZ Potsdam
Greece	National Observatory of Athens
Greece	University of Patras, Department of Geology
Ireland	Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies
Kazakhstan	Seismological Experimental Methodological Expedition
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyz Seismic Network
Macao	Macao Meteorological and Geophysical Bureau
Mexico	Centro de Investigacion Cientifica y de Educacion Superior de Ensenada
New Zealand	Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences
Norway	Stiftelsen NORSAR
Poland	Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences
Portugal	Instituto Geofisico do Infante Dom Luiz
Switzerland	Swiss Seismological Service

 Table 2. Agencies reporting final analysis results within a month of event occurrence

Notably, the availability of data from the IDC/CTBTO bulletin (REB) stayed as agreed with CTBTO – seven days after formal release of each REB data day. This is essential since the ISC is the only channel through which academic research scientists can get regular uninterrupted access to the REB event and station recording parameters (not original bulletins) except for the most recent 10-14 days. In line with CTBTO's formal conditions of release, the ISC is not allowed to make the original REB bulletins openly available.

BUILDING the PRELIMINARY ISC BULLETIN

Preliminary hypocentre solutions and station arrivals are grouped in the ISC database with corresponding solutions from other agencies and made available through the standard ISC Bulletin search procedure within a few hours of receipt. For each event an output includes several hypocentre solutions reported by various agencies, all reported source mechanisms and magnitude estimates as well as corresponding station arrival data. Event headers include logo images of each reporting agency and, by clicking on the logo, Preliminary ISC Bulletin users can get further information from each agency directly.

Almost all events with magnitude 5 and above and many of smaller magnitudes are reported within the first week. Further reports beyond one week add information to already reported large and moderate events and also inform about smaller events.

This process is there to fill the gap between the event occurrence and the time when the final Reviewed ISC Bulletin becomes available. It presents an attempt to consolidate the effort of many data centres and networks to make their data available internationally in good time. At this stage the ISC does not compute or publish its own event solutions. This service is not intended for use by the media or civil protection agencies. It is designed to be used by seismologists to receive as much information as possible in one single format from one single source and then to get access to details using the links provided to the original data reporters.

No later than one year after each seismic event occurrence, the preliminary data from agencies are substituted with their final, revised versions; this is well before the ISC analysts make their final review of the ISC Bulletin. The ISC hypocentre solutions are still based only on the revised set of bulletin parametric data given by each reporting institution.

COLLECTING REVISED NETWORK BULLETINS

The standard ISC data collection pulls together revised bulletins from agencies (network data centres and single observatories) around the world up to 12 months behind real time. This delay gives the majority of data contributors enough time for reviewing and finalising their bulletin data before submission to the ISC.

Appendix 1 lists 139 agencies that contributed revised seismic bulletins to the ISC during the calendar year 2019. It is important to note that among them this time is only one regional data concentrator, the NEIC/USGS, which in fact represents a number of US-based networks. Sadly, the East and South Africa Regional Seismological Working Group (ESARSWG) that

usually contributes a coordinated collection of local bulletins from 9 countries (*Ethiopia, Eritrea, Kenya, Malawi, Mozambique, Tanzania, Uganda, Zambia and Zimbabwe*) has failed to meet and produce their bulletins for data year 2017.

The ISC no longer receives seismic bulletins from the European-Mediterranean Seismological Centre (EMSC). All available bulletin contributions from this region arrive at the ISC directly from individual institutions.

Figure 3 shows countries and agencies that contributed revised bulletins for various months and years, directly or indirectly (via other agencies), during 2019. Figure 4 shows those agencies that reported data for the data months that the ISC reviewed during 2019. This collection is generally more complete (see the improvement in Cote D'Ivoire, Cuba, Madagascar, Morocco, Nicaragua, Pakistan, Saudi Arabia, Sudan, Sweden) due to the effort of the Data Collection Officer and the Director to obtain missing agency data before the analysis at the ISC begins.



Figure 3. Agencies (black dots) and corresponding countries (in colour) that reported revised bulletins during 2019; red/grey colours indicate direct/indirect contributions.

Figure 4. Agencies and corresponding countries that reported revised bulletins for the data months reviewed by the ISC in 2019: June 2016 – May 2017.

The ISC Bulletin is progressively updated with each network report coming in. Preliminary network contributions are substituted with final reviews. New events are built, merged or split with every new report coming to the ISC by e-mail and processed either automatically or manually by the ISC Data Collection Officer, who is working remotely from his home office in Scotland. The Analyst Administrator and the Data Collection Officer regularly review the status of data collection and contact various agencies to avoid reporting gaps. The Director helps to address urgent and difficult cases.

BULLETIN REVIEW

When the time comes, one month's worth of data is pulled into a separate database and a set of automatic procedures are run to produce automatic ISC event locations and magnitude determinations for those events that are large enough to be reviewed by the ISC seismologists. The threshold criteria are complex yet almost all events of magnitude 3.5 and larger are reviewed.

The ISC seismologists/analysts review approximately 10-20% of all events formed in the ISC database by the automatic procedures. Although this review misses smaller events, it makes the most used part of the ISC Bulletin accurate and trustworthy. The accuracy of *ak135*-based ISC solutions and magnitude estimates, and proper grouping of reported information between the events in the Bulletin is under constant scrutiny. The ISC analysts also review the correctness of automatic association of reported station arrivals to events, reported arrival's phase identification and travel-time residuals.

All analysis work is done using the Visual Bulletin Analysis System (VBAS). We were able to correct various small issues in the original version. We are planning to improve it further, where possible. A major upgrade would require employing an additional programmer, skilled in Java. Unfortunately, there are no extra funds available for this at this moment.

Throughout 2019, the Analysis Team varied between 9.2 (at start of the year) and 7.2 members (end of the year). This variability was caused by one resignation for personal reasons in the middle of the year and the Team leader, Lonn Brown taking a PhD post in his home country at the end of the year. The responsibility for administering the group was transferred to Dr Kathrin Lieser, who by that time had four years experience with the Team.

During 2019, the Team was conducting the review of the standard current ISC Bulletin as well as the Rebuilt ISC Bulletin for the period 1985-2010. In fact, in the second half of 2019, the priority and most resources were directed at the Rebuild project, with just two analysts performing the analysis of the standard ISC Bulletin. As a result the lag in the reviewed ISC Bulletin availability behind real time has increased (Fig.5).





In addition, members of the team were involved in other projects such as the ISC-EHB bulletin, Event Bibliography, ISC-GEM catalogue, automatic amplitude measurements from waveforms and production of the printed/electronic Summary of the ISC Bulletin, including its statistical analysis.

As a result, during 2019, the Analysis Team fully reviewed only **eight** complete data months of the recent ISC Bulletin with **four** data months receiving partial review. In total this covered data from June 2016 - May 2017. The analysts were working with a marginally lower number of seismic events compared to the average number during the previous five years (Fig. 6). Nevertheless the number of associated phases reviewed by analysts was on the increase (see numbers below) as new stations and networks were set up and corresponding data reported to the ISC. By design, VBAS helped to alleviate this problem.

During the calendar year 2019 (2018), ~60,000 (~76,000) reviewed events with ~7.7 (~8.8) million associated phases were added to the reviewed part of the Bulletin by the ISC analysts. Overall, the Bulletin (both reviewed and un-reviewed) was enlarged with ~683,000 (~613,000) events and ~24.3 (~20.3) million associated phases.



Figure 6. Monthly number of seismic events in the Reviewed ISC Bulletin analysed during 2019; the solid colour represents those data months that were fully completed during 2019; the dashed line shows the average monthly number during the preceding 5 years.

The result of the ISC work can be seen when comparing Figures 7 and 8. A fuzzy picture of the originally reported seismicity sharpened by the Reviewed ISC Bulletin.



Figure 7. All hypocentres reported by individual networks (June 2016 – May 2017).

Figure 8. Primary hypocentres in the ISC Bulletin (black) in the period (June 2016 – May 2017); in red are the reviewed events.

Figure 9 demonstrates the diversity of seismic phases included in the ISC Bulletin.



Figure 9. The travel-time graph and associated table show the statistics of various seismic phases generated by large shallow events reviewed by the ISC analysts during 2018; depth <=35 km and magnitude above 5.5 are shown.

GENERAL STATISTICS of the ISC BULLETIN

The ISC Bulletin and the ISC database grow by the day in both seismic event (earthquake or explosion) numbers (Fig. 10) and reported seismic wave arrival times and amplitudes of seismic waves recorded at stations registered in the IR (Fig. 11). Please note that, compared to the Directors Report 2018, the numbers on these graph have been taken after the ISC Bulletin has been fully rebuilt for the period 1964 to 2010. This would have seen the event numbers and especially the phase numbers rise as a result of additional bulletin data from permanent and temporary networks given to the ISC and integrated into the ISC Bulletin.



Figure 10. Timeline of the annual number of reviewed and un-reviewed (small) events in the ISC Bulletin; the total height of each column represents the annual number of all seismic events in the ISC Bulletin; note different scale used for events before and after 1964; "Reviewed" events beyond June 2017 are those intended for review. Numbers as of March 6, 2020



Figure 11. Timeline of the annual number of seismic arrivals associated with both reviewed (red) and un-reviewed (black) events in the ISC Bulletin, as well as those arrivals in the ISC database that are not associated to any known event (grey); the total height of each column represents the annual number of all seismic arrivals in the ISC database; note different scales used for events before and after 1964; "reviewed" events beyond June 2017 are those intended for review.

Figure 12 demonstrates the comparative magnitude completeness of the ISC Bulletin and bulletins of NEIC/USGS and IDC/CTBTO. The ISC Bulletin appears to be more complete globally than NEIC or IDC by at least half a unit of magnitude. The NEIC's current global operational magnitude cut-off threshold is 4.5. Smaller events are routinely included only for US territories. Thus, the ISC Bulletin is more complete by definition. The IDC is unlikely to use many more seismic sites/arrays than they use at present because the exact IMS network station positions are a fixed part of the Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty. Hence, the Bulletin of the ISC is likely to stay more complete than that of either NEIC or IDC.



Figure 12. Number of seismic events of different magnitude in the ISC, IDC/CTBTO (left) and NEIC/USGS (right) bulletins during the June 2016 - May 2017 period.

The ISC Bulletin is used by a large number of researchers worldwide. The number of bulletin web searches in 2019 more than doubled compared to 2018; it is in the order of 6 searches per minute (Fig. 13). The above number doesn't even include searches through the ISC mirror databases at ERI, CTBTO, LLNL or CEA. Neither does it include individual user searches based on flat bulletin files downloaded by some users from the ftp-site.



Figure 14 shows the multinational character of the ISC Bulletin search users.

ISC: Annual 2019 Director's Report



Figure 14. International usage of the ISC Bulletin in 2019: per number of searches (top) and per number of individual users (bottom).

per number of users

The above statistics include the use of the ISC mirror website at IRIS DMC, but not bulletin searches made from mirror-sites at ERI in Tokyo and LLNL in Livermore. Where reliably known, we have removed the numbers related to web crawlers.

Currently, the website searches give output in three major formats: ISF1.0 (International Seismic Format), QML (QuakeML) and CSV (comma separated variables). Figure 15 shows that the total number of searches in QML exceeds those of ISF and CSV combined. The QuakeML searches though, are performed by fewer users who tend to run automated queries that request larger volumes of data. It therefore appears that all three formats are popular and need to be maintained in the future.



Figure 15. Distributions of the number of ISC Bulletin searches, distinct users and overall volume of data taken per output format.

PRINTED SUMMARY of the BULLETIN of the ISC

Each volume of the *Summary of the Bulletin of the ISC* covers six months of data. The Summary is prepared at the ISC, printed by *Cambrian Printers* in Wales and posted to ISC Members and paying customers within approximately two months after the relevant period of ISC data becomes available to users. Within a few months the Summary becomes openly available on the web.



Figure 16. The first and second issues of the printed Summary of the Bulletin of the ISC for data year 2016 were published during 2019.

During 2019, we published two issues covering the period from January-December 2016 (Fig. 16). The following topics were covered:

- The ISC (Mandate, History, Evolution of the Bulletin, Member Institutions, Sponsors, Data Contributors, Staff)
- Operational Procedures (data collection, grouping, association, thresholds, location, magnitude determination, review, history of operational changes)
- IASPEI Standards
- Summary of Seismicity (for every 6 months)
- Invited articles on the history, status and procedures used at:
 - a. National Data Center in Kazakhstan
 - b. National Seismological Service in Mexico
 - c. National Seismic Network in Turkey
- Statistics of Collected Data

- Overview of the ISC Bulletin
- Leading Data Contributors
- Advertisements for instrument producers Sponsors of the ISC

The invited network description articles become associated with general information available for each agency contributing to the *ISC Bulletin*.

As a book publisher, the ISC charges no Value Added Tax (VAT) on its printed products yet VAT on all goods and services that it buys from other suppliers can be reclaimed.

IASPEI REFERENCE EVENT LIST (GT)

The International Seismological Centre maintains the IASPEI database of Reference Events (earthquakes and explosions, including nuclear) for which epicentre information is known with high confidence (to 5km or better, GT5) with seismic signals recorded at regional and/or teleseismic distances (Fig.17a,b). It should be noted that the depth of these events is not known to the same level of accuracy as the epicentre.



Figure 17a. The IASPEI List contains seismic events during 1959-2017 for which epicentre information is known with high confidence (to Xkm or better (GTX))



Figure 17b. The IASPEI List contains natural earthquakes as well as chemical and nuclear explosions.

The global effort of collecting and validating GT events is coordinated by the CoSOI/IASPEI working group on Reference Events for Improved Location which includes Bob Engdahl, Eric Bergman, István Bondár, Keith McLaughlin and Kostas Lentas.

The GT database of 10,187 reference events (1959-2017) and 1,275,806 station arrival times facilitates better visualization of the Earth's structure, better modelling of velocities of seismic waves, more accurate travel time determinations and increased accuracy of event locations.

The ISC users are able to search this database at the ISC website and receive GT locations and corresponding ISC locations along with station arrival data available for each event. A cross-link to the ISC Bulletin is provided for users to go between ISC and GT databases.

At the end of the analysis of each ISC Bulletin data year, we add new events to the Reference Event List. During 2019, 604 events were added or updated (Fig. 18).



ISC EVENT BIBLIOGRAPHY

The ISC Event Bibliography (Di Giacomo *et al.*, 2014) facilitates an interactive web search for references to scientific publications linked to both natural and anthropogenic events that have occurred in the geographical region of their choice based on earthquake (location, time, etc.) and/or publication parameters (author, journal, year of publication, etc.). The output is presented in a format accepted by major scientific journals. For most recent publications the results include the DOI that allows direct access to scientific articles from corresponding journal websites.

References are collected and linked to events in the ISC database based on the titles and abstracts of scientific publications found in the electronic indexes provided by scientific journals as well as references collected during work on the ISC-GEM Catalogue.

Figure 19 illustrates 1,955 articles related to 6,404 events that were added to the Event Bibliography during 2019. A large proportion of this work for the 20th century benefitted from the bibliographical efforts bringing reliable earthquake source mechanisms and moment tensors into the ISC-GEM catalogue.



Figure 19. Annual numbers and the map of 6,404 seismic events related to 1,955 scientific articles added to the ISC Event Bibliography during 2019.

SEISMOLOGICAL CONTACTS

The objective of this project is to maintain up-to-date information on the network of scientific institutions, seismologists and geophysicists, especially in the developing countries (Fig. 20), willing to serve as scientific points of contact for:

- Seismologists and Geophysicists in other countries,
- Governments,
- Charitable, Response and Relief organizations,
- Media.



Figure 20. Seismological Contacts webpage; in **red** are countries in which institutes and individual staff members are willing to share information and serve as a local point of contact; in **blue** are countries for which we have information about operating geophysical organisation(s); in **black** are countries for which we do not hold any information.

ISC WEB and FTP SITES

In 2019, the ISC website experienced \sim 12.5 million hits which is 37% higher than in 2018. The majority of the ISC web data are distributed through the main ISC website and the mirror at IRIS DMC in Seattle. The load balancer automatically directs users queries to the least busy server.

The use of the ISC ftp site in 2019 has almost doubled again compared to the previous year. The ftp-site is used for downloading pdf copies of the printed ISC Bulletins and Summaries, the ISC Bulletin in FFB and ISF formats, the ISC-EHB bulletins and the text version of the IR station list. Figure 21 demonstrates worldwide interest in ISC data.



Figure 21. Per country statistics of downloads from the ISC website and ftp-site

ISC DATABASE, WEBSITE BACKUP and MIRRORS

The ISC continued maintaining one of it's servers at the IRIS DMC in Seattle in order to hold a mirror of the ISC database and the ISC website. This was done with assistance from DMC and US NSF in order to achieve a general ISC data back-up and fall-over facility in case of a breakdown of services at the ISC itself as well as to spread the load on the ISC internet line and give ISC users faster access to data.

The mirror has been operational since 2011. The database in Seattle is updated with approximately an hour time lag. The load balancer evenly distributes the load on the ISC website, including the user searches, between the server at the ISC in Thatcham and the server at DMC in Seattle. Users no longer need to know the exact web address in Seattle and are generally no longer aware which server is addressing their request.

In addition, the IRIS DMC is able to use the database, when required, to serve DMC archive users with event-based selection of waveform data.

Other mirrors of the ISC database are maintained by the Earthquake Research Institution (ERI) of University of Tokyo to serve the research community in Japanese universities, by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL) to serve users from nuclear test monitoring laboratories in the US and a database mirror and website installed in Beijing and Xian by the China Earthquake Administration (CEA) to help numerous Mandarin speaking seismologists to obtain more intuitive access to the ISC data.

DEVELOPMENT PROJECTS

ISC BULLETIN REBUILD

The value of the ISC Bulletin is subject to adhering to uniform procedures over a long period of time. Nevertheless, essential changes in the ISC procedures have occurred (Fig. 22):



Figure 22. The overall plan and current status of the ISC Bulletin Rebuild project (updated figure from Storchak et al., 2017)

- The *ak135* velocity model (Kennett *et al.*, 1995) has been used since 2006 superseding the *JB* travel times (Jeffreys and Bullen, 1940).
- A new event Locator based on a different approach was introduced from data year 2009 (Bondar and Storchak, 2011).
- Throughout ISC history different sets of seismic phases were used for location: P and (from 2001) S with other *ak135* phases from 2009.
- Latitude and longitude error estimates were computed before Oct 2002, followed by full error ellipses later on.
- Procedures that determine which reported events require relocation by the ISC were also changed in 1999, 2005 and 2006.

We are currently rebuilding the ISC Bulletin using current ISC procedures to guarantee homogeneity throughout its entire period by:

• Renaming the ISC phase identifications in line with the IASPEI standard (Storchak *et al.* 2003, 2011; Schweitzer *et al.*, 2019);

- re-computing all ISC hypocentres and event magnitudes with uncertainties;
- soliciting, obtaining and integrating essential additional datasets that were not available at the time of the original ISC Bulletin production;
- performing essential integrity and consistency checks, quality control and correction.

The ISC analysts review events with considerable departures of main hypocentre parameters from the original ISC solutions as well as events with unacceptable travel time residuals at individual stations. They also review those events where the only hypocentre is that of the ISC and events where there was no ISC hypocentre in the past.

During the first half of 2019, we completed the review of seismic events within the period 1985-1990, substituted the old data in the main ISC database with those rebuilt and released to users, as part of general search, in June 2019, just before the IUGG Assembly in Montreal. Here we show figures for the 1988-1990 period, similar to those in Storchak *et al.* (2017).



Figure 23. Poorly located or phantom events discarded from the ISC Bulletin (1985-1990).

Figure 24. New events added to the ISC Bulletin (1985-1990).

We performed the overall review of events in the Bulletin by removing poorly constrained and phantom events (Fig. 23) as well as adding new events from previously unavailable datasets (Fig.24). New stations are shown on Figure 25. A large number of seismic arrival times have been added to the Bulletin, whilst the numbers of additional stations continued to grow (Fig. 26).



Figure 25. The existing and new (red) stations in the Rebuilt Bulletin during 1985-1990.



Figure 26. Comparative numbers of original and new seismic arrivals and the growth in station numbers during 1985-1990.

Considerable changes have taken place in the magnitude area (Fig. 27). Many one or two station based ISC *mb* and *MS* magnitudes have been deleted whilst the rest of the magnitudes were recomputed using a much more robust technique that is used by the ISC today.



Figure 27. Comparison of the annual number (left) and the magnitude frequency distribution (right) of the ISC mb (top) and ISC MS (bottom) in the original and the rebuilt ISC bulletins during 1985-1990.

Figure 28 shows the statistical differences between the original and rebuilt ISC magnitudes. It appears, that the rebuilt mb is 0.08 larger, on average, than the original mb. The rebuilt MS is 0.06 larger than the original MS. These differences appear larger during the period 1985-1990, compared to the entire rebuilt period (1964-2010). We shall investigate this issue next year when preparing a scientific publication on the entire rebuilt period.



Figure 28. Statistical differences between the original and rebuilt ISC mb (left) and MS (right) during the 1985-1990 period.

Figure 29 shows the changes in location of seismic events that have taken place with the introduction of the Rebuilt ISC Bulletin.





Figure 29. Before / after maps that demonstrate the changes in the Rebuilt ISC Bulletin during the 1985-1990 period.

In the second half of 2019, we continued the review of the 1991-2010 period. With the approval of the Executive Committee, a large part of our analytical resources were diverted from the standard concurrent bulletin analysis to the Rebuild project. With the exception of just a few data months and final integrity checks, the analysis was largely complete by the end of 2019. The release to users took place in February 2020, which is outside the scope of this annual report.

Our plan for early 2020 is to release the entire 1964-2010 period and, by the end of 2021, to reinvent the ISC Bulletin for the 1904-1963 period, based on the data available in the ISC-GEM database account.

As a result, the entire extended ISC Bulletin (1904-present) will be relocated based on the same location procedure, ak135 velocity model and magnitude computation techniques that are used in the ISC Bulletin production today.

COMPUTING EARTHQUAKE FOCAL MECHANISMS at the ISC

We are now computing fully automatic focal mechanisms of recent earthquakes, combining directions of first motions reported to the ISC with auto-picked first motions from waveforms available at IRIS, EIDA, etc. We focus on moderate to large earthquakes (mb^{ISC} \geq 4.5) in the reviewed ISC bulletin and especially on earthquakes with no previously reported source mechanisms. For the historical period, we placed no magnitude restriction and computed mechanisms for all events where adequate collection of station polarity reports was available. For this work we took advantage of the HASH algorithm to compute focal mechanisms (Hardebeck and Shearer, 2002) and FilterPicker source code to automatically determine the polarities of first motions (Lomax *et al.*, 2012). The entire procedure is described in scientific paper published by Lentas (2018) and Lentas and Harris (2019).

During 2019, the ISC on-line Bulletin was upgraded with 8,595 ISC focal mechanisms covering the period from 1980 till March 2017 (Fig. 30). This work was timed to coincide

with the release of the portions of the Rebuilt ISC Bulletin and will continue in both historical and current time periods.



IN-HOUSE WAVEFORM PICKING for USE in the ISC BULLETIN

From 1964, the ISC's mission was based on re-using the seismogram (waveform) arrival time measurements (picks) made by many tens of observatories and data centres. In recent years, the ISC used reported arrival times of ~8.5 thousand stations worldwide. The ISC does not have sufficient staff capacity to obtain those picks from waveforms, even if these waveforms were always available. We nevertheless feel that the value and quality of the ISC Bulletin would have been compromised if we didn't act in two particular areas.

Depth phases such as pP, sP, pPKP, sPKP etc. are crucial for constraining the hypocentre depth of many moderate earthquakes in the ISC Bulletin that occur away from close monitoring stations. During the last 10-14 years though, we have observed a steady decline in the number of depth phase reports. Fig. 31 shows the monthly statistics of these reports for the three largest reporters of depth phases: National Earthquake Information Center (NEIC/USGS), International Data Centre (IDC of CTBTO) and Geophysical Survey of Russian Academy of Sciences (GS RAS). Consultations with the three agencies have shown that we can't expect the numbers to improve in the short term.



Fig. 31. Steady decline in the monthly number of depth phase (pP, sP, pPKP, ...) arrival time reports by the three largest reporters of this information to the ISC.

Since 2018, we set aside a small fraction of analyst resources to deal with the problem. One or two ISC analysts are spending one day per week picking the depth phases on waveforms available from IRIS DMC for earthquakes with $mb^{NEIC} \ge 4.8$. We time this activity so that the results can be used during the routine production of the ISC Bulletin and reviewed by the ISC analysts. An example of such work is shown on Fig. 32.



Fig. 32. An example of picking depth phases by the ISC analysts using the SEISAN (Havskov et al., 1999, 2010, 2020) for mb 5.2 earthquake in Northern Chile; blue/red dots indicate measured/theoretical arrivals of depth phases for the ak135 model against ISC solution.

Depth phases for 1,179 earthquakes that occurred during the period from June 2016 till May 2017 were added to the ISC Bulletin. Figure 33 shows 793 events for which this information was crucial, i.e. the depth would have otherwise had to be fixed to the area's default. Figure 34 shows the worldwide distribution of 159 stations used and their comparative input. As expected, stations in quiet regions of Australia, Antarctica and Kazakhstan provided the largest input.



Fig. 33. The map of 793 events where additional ISC pP/sP picks were critical to constrain the ISC event depths.



We plan to continue with this effort and train a further two members of the Analysis Team to be able to do this work in the future.

We also continued picking waveforms of the Africa Array to compensate for the unfortunate lack of permanent observations on large parts of this continent. We include these picks in the routine production of the ISC Bulletin.

ISC SEISMOLOGICAL DATASET REPOSITORY

Just before the IUGG Assembly in Montreal, we released a new supplementary ISC service that allows individual researchers or groups to submit seismological datasets that they wish to be openly available to the scientific community for a long period of time.

This service (International Seismological Centre, 2019) will assist a positive trend in scientific publishing to require article authors to make the original research data openly available so that their conclusions can be both tested and used by other researchers.

The examples of acceptable datasets include but not limited to:

- Event catalogues/bulletins
- Results of earthquake source studies
- Results of structure studies
- Velocity models
- Notable earthquake observations
- Seismological computer code

We do not envisage storing raw waveforms as this role falls within the mission of other data centres.

This long-term secure repository of seismic datasets includes all necessary metadata such as a DOI, author contact information, affiliation, relevant scientific publication and date of submission as well as associated information such as comments, formats, positions of relevant seismic stations etc.

The ISC shall obtain the DOI for each submitted dataset via CrossRef.

The ISC Repository is an open facility that has good potential to serve geophysicists for a very long time. This facility is likely to be recognised by scientific journal editors as one of the legitimate independently maintained places for depositing author processed datasets to satisfy editorial board requirements on open access to data.

The Repository received nine eligible submissions by the end of 2019. George Choy was the first registered author with the USGS source parameters catalogue (1987-2013), listing broadband depths, focal mechanisms, radiated energy and energy magnitudes (Choy, 2019).

ISC-EHB (1964-2016) RELEASED

The original EHB dataset served as a groomed version of the ISC Bulletin. It was a valuable tool for global and regional seismicity studies and tomographic inversions. Teleseismically well-constrained events were selected from the ISC Bulletin and are relocated using the EHB location algorithms (Engdahl *et al.*, 1998) to minimise errors in location (particularly depth) due to assumed 3D Earth structure. The EHB algorithm incorporated a specific phase identification algorithm for teleseismic depth phases (pP, pwP, sP, PcP) as well as using PKiKP, PKPdf, PKPbc and PKPab.

The original **EHB** stopped in 2008, and since then the volume and quality of bulletin data at the ISC has significantly improved. We have used these enlarged and improved data, updated the event selection, data preparation and processing, and relocation procedures to produce a cleaner and more robust **ISC-EHB** dataset (Weston *et al.*, 2018), using the advantages of both the ISC (Bondar and Storchak, 2011) and EHB location techniques.

During 2019, together with E.R. Engdahl of University of Colorado Boulder, we applied the ISC-EHB approach to events in the 1964-1999 period and year 2016 to complement the 2000-2015 period, released during 2018. The entire dataset (1964-2016) has now been released along with updated cross-sections through the majority of subduction regions of the globe. This dataset has made the <u>original EHB truly obsolete</u>.

The ISC-EHB dataset has great potential to reveal complicated structures (Fig. 35). It is available from the ISC website along with **cross-section plots** for a large number of seismic regions. A second scientific article was prepared during the second half of 2019 and finally published in early 2020 (Engdahl *et al.*, 2020).

The entire EHB/ISC-EHB dataset contains 170,550 seismic events from 1964 to 2016. During 2019, Dr Engdahl also trained two seismologists/analysts at the ISC who will be able to carry this project forward. We shall incrementally extend this dataset forward as part of routine operations, based on the progress in production of its original source of data - the Reviewed ISC Bulletin.





Figure 35. An example of a cross-section through the Celebes Sea region (number 4 on the map; the upright triangles are volcanos; the inverted triangles are trench points.

ADVANCEMENT of the ISC-GEM CATALOGUE

The ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Catalogue was originally requested and funded by the GEM Foundation. The catalogue is widely used for modelling seismic hazard on a regional and global scale. In addition, the catalogue is also used as an authoritative reference and a starting point in regional studies in South America, Africa and Asia. The catalogue also has a multidisciplinary use in a wide range of other areas such as studies of global seismicity, tectonics, earthquake hazard forecasting, rapid determination of hazard etc (Storchak *et al.*, 2015). Moreover, during the ISC-GEM project, we digitised a large volume of basic station observation data which can now be used by individual researchers for historical earthquake studies.

Notably, the ISC-GEM catalogue forms the basis of the USGS's ComCat Catalog (ANSS Catalog) before 1970.

The popularity of ISC-GEM has grown over the years. An average of \sim 14.8 downloads per day were recorded in the last 54 months and an average of \sim 17.4 - during 2019 alone (Fig. 36).



Figure 36. During the last 54 months, the ISC-GEM catalogue has, on average, been downloaded ~15 times per day.

The ISC-GEM catalogue was first released in January 2013 (Storchak *et al.*, 2013). Unlike the ISC Bulletin, the ISC-GEM catalogue was built for use in seismic hazard and risk assessment. The catalogue covers ~110 years of global seismicity and includes:

- hypocentres computed (Bondar *et al.*, 2015) using *ak135* velocity model (Kennett *et al.*, 1995), using a combination of the EHB technique (Engdahl *et al.*, 1998) and the new ISC locator (Bondár and Storchak, 2011), based on the original station arrival time reports (Di Giacomo *et al.*, 2015a);
- magnitudes expressed in M_W scale (Di Giacomo *et al.*, 2015b, Lee and Engdahl, 2015;
- formal uncertainties and quality given for both hypocentre and magnitude determinations.

The original ISC-GEM catalogue covered the period 1900-2009 with the magnitude cut-off thresholds dictated by the size of the original funding available at the time and the need to finish the original project in just over two years:

- 1900-1917: M≥7.5
- 1918-1959: M≥6¼
- 1960-2009: M≥5.5

As a result of work under the *Extension* project (Di Giacomo *et al.*, 2018), the improved cutoff thresholds were as follows:

- 1904-1917: M≥6¼
- 1918-2015: M≥5.5

Year 2019 was the 2^{nd} year of the *Advancement* project. We further dropped the cut-off magnitude to $M_W 5.0$ in the continental areas during 1980-1990 and 2016 (Fig. 37).



Figure 37. Annual number (top) and magnitude distribution (bottom) of earthquakes above a certain magnitude in ISC-GEM Ver.6 (at the end of Year 1 of the Advancement project, left) versus Ver.7b at the end of Year 2 of the Advancement project (right).

We also continued with the large task of searching through the scientific literature for studies of fault mechanisms of past earthquakes before 1976 when the Global CMT project (Ekström *et al.*, 2012) began. The results are shown in Figure 38.



Figure 38: Left: 273 earthquakes with source mechanisms from literature, colour-coded by depth. Right: timeline colour-coded by type of source mechanism: broadband (BB); relative amplitudes (RA); first motion polarities (FM); unknown (UK).

In addition, we made further improvements to ~ 100 earthquakes already listed in the 1960s and 1970s by updating the magnitude and/or location. We also investigated the possibility of filling gaps in reports of certain long-term stations, mostly in Central Asia.

PROBABILISTIC STF, MT and DEPTH INVERTIONS

In 2017, Dr Tom Garth joined the ISC and Department of Earth Sciences, University of Oxford of which he is a formal employee. His appointment is jointly funded by the ISC ($\frac{2}{3}$) and Prof. Karin Sigloch's ERC grant ($\frac{1}{3}$). He has a desk at both the ISC and in Oxford.

During 2019, Tom Garth has continued developing the new ISC source time function (STF) product. We aim to provide moment tensor (MT), source time function (STF) and depth constrains for moderate magnitude earthquakes (M_W 5.8 – 7.2) globally, along with a full assessment of the inherent uncertainty in each of these parameters. As previously outlined, the code uses teleseismically observed body waves to solve the STF and MT. As the arrivals include surface reflected depth phases, with their characteristic sensitivity to source depth, the method has the potential to add new depth resolution to shallow earthquakes (<40 km depth) in remote areas, and complimentary depth resolution to moderate depth earthquakes (40 - 300km) where depth phases picking can also add resolution. The work has involved:

- adapting the codes to the 'high through put' (*CondorHTC*) cluster that has been set up at the ISC for this purpose;
- building the data workflow around the code that has been developed during this project, with the aim of making the process as automated and reliable as possible;
- testing the methodology and workflow on a broader set of example earthquakes, fixing the bugs that were illuminated, as well as developing the methodology and codes to steer away from the short falls that were discovered.



Figure 39: *Example of the* probabilistic STF and moment tensor inversion; top left: depth probability density function; top *middle:* Bayesian beach ball, with dark colours representing a high probability of a positive first motion at the represented take-off angle; top right: heat map of potential nodal planes, with more probable nodal planes represented by darker reds; **bottom**: representation of the plausible set of STFs, with darker colours representing more probable solutions. The red line represents the average STF.

In addition, an outline of the methodology and initial testing results were presented at the British Seismological Meeting (BSM, September 2019), and at invited departmental seminars in Cambridge (Bullard Lab Geophysics seminar, November 2019) and Oxford (Brown Bag seminar, October 2019). An example of the results produced by this code is shown in Fig. 39.

In this work, Tom Garth has been building on the work of the project partner Prof. Karin Sigloch (University of Oxford) (Sigloch and Nolet, 2006; Stahler and Sigloch, 2014; 2016).

It is expected that at the end of the 3-year PDRA post, Tom Garth will be able to join the ISC as a full member of staff responsible for earthquake location and other developments.

CTBTO LINK to the ISC DATABASE

In 2008, the UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office (FCO) awarded the ISC with a threeyear grant to set up a dedicated and secure link to the ISC database for the CTBTO PTS and National Data Centres (NDC). The FCO provided 90% of the total funding with GEUS (Denmark), NORSAR (Norway), FOI (Sweden) and University of Helsinki (Finland) complementing it with 2.5% each. From April 2011, the funding of the project was taken over by CTBTO. The current contract runs from April 2015 until March 2020. A new annual contract was signed to start in April 2020 with four possible annual extensions.

During 2019, we maintained a dedicated server at the ISC that held a mirror version of the ISC database. The dedicated web-based software package designed, maintained and upgraded by the ISC for this service allowed users from the Provisional Technical Secretariat and National Data Centres for CTBTO to query the ISC database in ways specific to the nuclear test monitoring community. The software package includes four types of bulletin searches: area based, REB event based, GT event based and IMS station based through the wealth of parametric information in the ISC database.

The objective is to provide the capability for NDCs to perform various analysis such as:

- assessing historical seismicity in a specific region;
- putting an event of interest into context with the seismicity of the surrounding region;
- examination of observations reported by non-IMS stations;
- comparison of hypocentre solutions provided by various agencies;
- relocating an REB event based on user selected arrival times available in the ISC database using the *ak135* 1-D model with optional RSTT regional velocity model;
- investigation of station histories and residual patterns of IMS or IMS surrogate stations.

We developed an interface for selecting waveforms of non-IMS stations for REB events from the IRIS DMC, EIDA and GeoNet archives. For recent REB and GT events, this interface:

- allows selection of stations by distance / azimuth to the REB epicentre;
- shows the number of stations, for which waveforms are available at all three archives;
- exhibits pre-prepared images of selected waveforms, filtered and un-filtered with theoretical first arrivals indicated on top of the waveform images;
- offers a form to request part of a waveform, based on absolute or relative theoretical arrival times of required seismic phases or on group velocity of surface waves;
- triggers a request to waveform archives; as a result, users receive required waveforms by e-mail in the SEED format.

Figure 40 shows user activity on the Link by both PTS/CTBTO and NDCs.



Figure 40. The Link to the ISC database mirror is provided to the NDCs through the IDC secure website. The figure shows the healthy stream of user activity.

This project also benefits the ISC and the ISC users.

- The ISC development staff acquired relevant skills and experience during this project. The advances made under this project are gradually implemented to improve the open ISC web services. For example, the station histories now form an essential part of the International Seismograph Station Registry, available from the ISC website.
- In particular, experience of downloading, quality checking and processing waveforms on an industrial scale helps the ISC's efforts towards making its own automatic waveform measurements to further improve the quality of the ISC Bulletin.
- The ISC and its Bulletin users have speedy access to the REB Bulletin which is now available in **daily batches within 7-14 days after an event occurrence** as opposed to 6-12 months in the past (Fig. 41).
- Many NDCs are run by institutions that are either Members or Reporters of data to ISC.
- Several NDC's either became ISC Members or increased their financial contributions, based on the added value of the ISC services.



REB data months

Figure 41. The availability of data from the ISC REB bulletins (not REB itself) to general ISC Bulletin users (days behind real time) has considerably improved as an indirect result of routine operation of the CTBTO Link; reporting of daily instead of monthly batches made any day of a data month available at the ISC much sooner.

It also has to be noted that although the use of software created under this project is open only to the monitoring community, the actual data used by them are exactly the same as used by all ISC users: the ISC Bulletin, GT List, the ISC-EHB bulletin and the International Seismograph Station Registry.

FINANCE

The detailed financial statements of the ISC for 2019 were audited by Wilkins Kennedy Chartered Accountants (Newbury, UK). These statements present the state of the ISC's financial affairs as at 31st December 2019.

INCOME

In 2019, the ISC had a total income of £936,962.

The ISC received **£713,428** of contributions from 68 Member Institutions in 48 countries, which, unlike in 2018, included a full 12 months of NSF award. We were pleased to welcome the following new Members:

- Observatorio Nacional (ON), Brazil;
- National Institute of Geophysics, Geodesy and Geography (NIGGG), Bulgaria;
- Texas Seismological Network (TexNet), USA;
- University of Utah Seismograph Stations (UUSS), USA.

Grants for special projects and general sponsorship totalled $\pounds 223,686$, which is ~24% of the total income.

Traditionally, the income also includes the revenue from sales of the Bulletin Summary book, reduced by the cost of the book and DVD-ROM production and postage, which in 2019 amounted to a loss of $\pounds 2,401$.

We received a further £2,249 in interest on our bank accounts.

At the end of year 2019, £6,744 had yet to be paid by Members. At the time of writing this report £4,320 had been received, leaving £2,424 outstanding. This is an outstanding result, compared to 2018, when the £117,683 had yet to be paid by Members at the year's end. We praise those Members who make an effort to pay membership invoices promptly.

EXPENDITURE

As much as 80% of ISC expenditure was committed to personnel costs, which reflects the continuing rise in pension costs. The staff costs include salaries, pension contributions, and recruitment of new staff. The ISC salaries continue to follow the scales adopted in 2015 and approved by the Executive Committee. Each January, with the approval from the Chairs of the Governing Council and the Executive Committee, we increase the staff salaries in line with the annual inflation index (CPI), published by the UK Office of National Statistics.

Building maintenance costs increased by approximately 3% as compared to 2018. Staff travel and computer costs decreased by ~10%. As in previous years, staff travelled to attend conferences and increase the profile of the ISC, take part in project meetings and also to seek new data and future funding.

The cost of the PDRA position at the University of Oxford, supported by the ISC (66.66%), was £40,349, which this year was charged by the University with four quarterly invoices.

A total of £4,299 has been treated as bad debt. This corresponds to one unit of unrecovered membership subscription for two years from INPRES (San Juan, Argentina) who informed the ISC of their unfortunate decision to withdraw due to financial difficulties.

The exchange rate between UK \pounds and US \$ / Euro changed throughout the year. Taking into account the timings of individual incoming and outgoing transactions, the ISC experienced a loss of £2,171 on foreign currency exchange in 2019.

Additionally, the increased expenditure reflected a loss of the 80% relief granted by West-Berkshire Council (local authority) to the ISC as a non-profit organization in the past. As a result, the ISC is charged ~£15,000 per annum instead of ~£3,000 formerly charged. Negotiations with the local Council and HMRC to reinstate the discount have proven lengthy and frustrating, despite the support from our accountant's charity specialists. It turned out that the only way to reinstate the Council's support was to obtain formal registration of the ISC's not-for-profit (charitable) status with the Charity Commission for England and Wales. With approval from the Executive Committee, a lawyer from Lester Aldridge LLP has been commissioned to help with identifying the most appropriate way of registering the ISC status with the Charity Commission.

RESERVES

In understanding that all missing contributions will be paid, the ISC's income during 2019 exceeded its expenditure by £39,869, which partly compensated a loss of £44,454 made in 2018. As a result the total reserves, comprising cash in the bank, value of building and land, money owed to the ISC (debtors) minus money the ISC owes (creditors) have increased to **£846,256**; this includes money ear-marked for on-going projects.

The Contingency Fund still stands at £30,000 in accordance with the wish of the ISC Governing Council. The ISC General Reserve stands at £816,256.

CASH FLOW

The cash flow in Fig. 42 shows receipts and expenditure using dates when transactions were recorded at the bank and the bank balances where US Dollars and Euros are converted to Sterling using the exchange rate at the end of each month.

Due to the size of its General Reserve serving as a safety cushion, the ISC was fortunate not to experience problems with its cash flow in 2019. This may change in the future if Members and Sponsors do not provide funds in time.

Here we would like to thank once again those member-Institutions that make their annual fee payment promptly and accurately when invoices are sent at the beginning of each year.



Figure 42. Income/Expenditure and running cash balance at the end of each calendar month during 2019.

SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY AWARENESS

VISITORS to the ISC

The following geophysicists visited the ISC premises in Thatcham during the year:

- Dino Bindi, GFZ, Potsdam, *Germany*
- Angelo Strollo, GFZ, Potsdam, Germany
- Elefteria Papadimitriou, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- Vassilis Karakostas, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece
- Ken Kawabe, MS&AD Interrisk, Tokyo, Japan
- Wataru Echizenya, MS&AD Interrisk, Tokyo, Japan
- Hannah Elms, Victoria University, Wellington, New Zealand
- Jose A. Jara, ICGC, Barcelona, Spain
- Antoni M. Silva, ICGC, Barcelona, Spain
- Cassandra Bailly, Guralp, *UK*
- Marie Balon, Guralp, UK
- Sadie de Clercq, Guralp, UK
- Tim Craig, University of Leeds, UK
- Harsh Gupta, NGRI, Hyderabad, India
- Bob Engdahl, University of Colorado Boulder, USA
- Rengin Gok, LLNL, USA
- Rob Mellors, LLNL, USA

- Michael Pasyanos, LLNL, USA
- Doug Dodge, LLNL, USA
- Neill Symons, LANL, USA
- Michael Begnaud, LANL, USA
- Scott Phillips, LANL, USA
- Ellen Syracuse, LANL, USA
- G. Andrew Erickson, LANL, USA

CONFERENCES, MEETINGS, WORKSHOPS, TRAINING COURSES

To establish a tradition, we helped the University of Edinburgh to organise and run the 2nd British Seismology Meeting (BSM2019). The 1st BSM was organised by the ISC in 2017. The next BSM2021 is scheduled to take place in Cambridge.

Members of the ISC staff presented at the following conferences, meetings and workshops:

- EGU Meeting, Vienna, Austria
- CTBTO WGB-52 meeting, Vienna, Austria
- CTBTO Science and Technology Conference, Vienna, Austria
- CTBTO WGB-53 meeting, Vienna, Austria
- 3rd Brazilian Seismology Symposium, Vinhedo, *Brazil*
- IUGG Assembly, Montreal, Canada
- 20Y Chi-Chi Earthquake, Taipei, Chinese Taipei
- EPOS Seismology Workshop, Grenoble, France
- AG Seismology, Rastatt, Germany
- International Seismology School, GS RAS, Chisinau, Moldova
- ORFEUS Board of Directors meeting, Lisbon, Portugal
- Complex Geophysical Monitoring of Far East, Petropavlovsk, Russia
- Africa Array Workshop, Johannesburg, South Africa
- NDC-RSTT Workshop, Chiang Mai, *Thailand*
- Publishers Workshop, CrossRef and British Library, London, UK
- British Seismology Meeting 2019, Edinburgh, UK
- EPOS Workshop on Anthropogenic Hazards, Keele, UK
- Impact Forecasting Revealed, Aon Benfield, London, UK
- Future of Passive Seismic Acquisition, Cambridge, UK
- UK SEDI meeting, UCL, London, UK
- Deep Earthquakes, RAS, London, UK
- WRN Earthquake Seminar, London, UK
- SSA Meeting, Seattle, USA
- AGU Meeting, San Francisco, USA

ISC STAFF VISITING OTHER INSTITUTIONS

Often with the help of the hosting institution, members of the ISC staff visited and, where appropriate, gave a presentation to the staff of:

- Instituto Dom Luiz, University of Lisbon, Portugal
- The Portuguese Institute for Sea and Atmosphere (IPMA), Lisbon, *Portugal*
- British Library, London, *UK*
- The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK
- British Geological Survey, Edinburgh, UK
- IDC/CTBTO, Vienna, Austria
- IRIS Data Management Center, Seattle, USA
- Pacific Northwest Seismic Network (PNSN), University of Washington, Seattle, USA
- Royal Society, London, UK
- Natural Environment Research Council (NERC), Swindon, UK
- University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- University of Cambridge, Cambridge, UK
- Geophysical Survey, Russian Academy of Sciences (RAS), Obninsk, Russia
- University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- Geological Survey, Ottawa, Canada
- Nanometrics, Kanata, *Canada*
- Institute of Geology and Seismology, Chisinau, Moldova
- Milestii Mici Seismic Station, Milestii Mici, Moldova
- Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica, Taipei, Chinese Taipei
- Kamchatka Branch, Geophysical Survey, RAS, Petropavlovsk, Russia
- WDC-B, Geophysical Centre, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia
- Institute des Sciences de la Terre, Universite Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France
- Chiang Mai Array facilities, Chiang Mai, *Thailand*
- China Earthquake Networks Center, Beijing, China
- Institute of Geophysics, China Earthquake Administration, Beijing, China
- TAIDE Enterprise Co, Zhuhai, China
- Guangdong Earthquake Administration, CEA, Guangzhou, China

ISC PRIZES: UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD

Several years ago the ISC established a small annual Prize in Mathematics and Geophysics (£200 and traditional ISC coffee mug) for the best first year student at the Earth Science Department of its home institution – the University of Oxford.

In 2018, the prize was given to Ms Marjolaine Briscoe, the student with the best exam results in Mathematics and Geophysics. By awarding this prize the ISC hopes to attract University of

Oxford students to take note of the ISC services right from their first year, support the ISC in the future and perhaps even help the ISC in fulfilling its mission.

SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS BY ISC STAFF

The ISC staff published several scientific articles during 2019 to fulfil a general strategy of making the ISC standards, procedures and services transparent to users. This also helps to keep an improved historical record of how the ISC data were put together at different times.

Lentas, K., Di Giacomo, D., Harris, J., and Storchak, D. A., 2019. The ISC Bulletin as a comprehensive source of earthquake source mechanisms, Earth Syst. Sci. Data, 11, 565-578, https://doi.org/10.5194/essd-11-565-2019

Lentas, K. and Harris, J., 2019. Enhanced performance of ISC focal mechanism computations as a result of automatic first-motion polarity picking optimization, J. Seismol., 1141-1159, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09862-x.

Schweitzer J., Storchak D.A., Borman P., 2019. Seismic Phase Nomenclature: The IASPEI Standard. In: Gupta H. (eds) Encyclopedia of Solid Earth Geophysics. Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series. Springer, Cham, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-10475-7

Wang, K., Brown, L., Hu, Y., Yoshida, K., He, J., and Sun, T., 2019. Stable forearc stressed by a weak megathrust: Mechanical and geodynamic implications of stress changes caused by the M=9 Tohoku-Oki earthquake. J. Geophys. Res.: Solid Earth, 124,

https://doi.org/10.1029/2018JB017043

REFERENCES

Bondár, I., and D. Storchak, 2011. Improved location procedures at the International Seismological Centre, 2011. *Geophys. J. Int.*, 186, 1220-1244.

Bondár, I., Engdahl, E.R., Villaseñor A., Harris, J., and Storchak, D.A., 2015. ISC-GEM: Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009): II. Location and seismicity patterns, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 239, 2-13, doi: 10.1016/j.pepi.2014.06.002.

Choy, G., 2019. USGS Broadband Source Parameters Catalog (1987-2013), ISC Seismological Dataset Repository, https://doi.org/10.31905/GYFGEW7I

Di Giacomo, D., Storchak, D.A., Safronova, N., Ozgo, P., Harris, J., Verney, R. and Bondár, I., 2014. A New ISC Service: The Bibliography of Seismic Events, *Seismol. Res. Lett.*, 85, 2, 354-360, doi: 10.1785/0220130143

Di Giacomo, D., Harris, J., Villaseñor, A., Storchak, D.A., Engdahl, E.R., Lee, W.H.K. and the Data Entry Team, 2015a. ISC-GEM: Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009), I. Data collection from early instrumental seismological bulletins, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 239, 14-24, doi: 10.1016/j.pepi.2014.06.003.

Di Giacomo, D., Bondár, I., Storchak, D.A., Engdahl, E.R., Bormann, P., and Harris, J., 2015b. ISC-GEM: Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009): III. Re-computed MS and mb, proxy MW, final magnitude composition and completeness assessment, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 239, 33-47, doi: 10.1016/j.pepi.2014.06.005.

Di Giacomo, D., Engdahl, E. R. and Storchak, D.A., 2018a. The ISC-GEM Earthquake Catalogue (1904–2014): status after the Extension Project, *Earth Syst. Sci. Data*, 10, 1877-1899, doi: 10.5194/essd-10-1877-2018

Ekström, G., Nettles, M., and Dziewonski, A.M., 2012. The global CMT project 2004–2010: Centroid-moment tensors for 13,017 earthquakes, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 200-201, 1-9.

Engdahl, E.R., van der Hilst, R. and Buland, R., 1998. Global teleseismic earthquake relocation with improved travel times and procedures for depth determination, *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 88, 722-743.

Engdahl, E. R., Di Giacomo, D., Sakarya, B., Gkarlaouni, C. G., Harris, J., and Storchak, D. A., 2020. ISC-EHB 1964-2016, an Improved Data Set for Studies of Earth Structure and Global Seismicity, *Earth and Space Science*, 7(1), https://doi.org/10.1029/2019EA000897

Hardebeck, J.L. and Shearer, P.M., 2002. Using S/P Amplitude Ratios to Constrain the Focal Mechanisms of Small Earthquakes. *Bull. Seism. Soc. Am.*, 92 (6), 2264-2276.

Havskov, J., and Ottemöller, L., 1999. SEISAN earthquake analysis software, *Seism. Res. Lett.* 70, 532–534.

Havskov, J., and Ottemöller, L., 2010. Routine Data Processing in Earthquake Seismology, Springer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, doi: 10.1007/978-90-481-8697-6.

Havskov, J., Voss, P. H. and Ottemöller, L., 2020. Seismological Observatory Software: 30 Yr of SEISAN, *Seismol. Res. Lett.* XX, 1–7, doi: 10.1785/0220190313.

International Seismological Centre (2019), Seismological Dataset Repository, https://doi.org/10.31905/6TJZECEY

Jeffreys, H., and Bullen K.E., 1940. Seismological Tables. British Association for the Advancement of Science, London

Kennett, B. L. N., Engdahl, E. R., and Buland, R., 1995. Constraints on seismic velocities in the Earth from traveltimes, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 122, 108-124.

Lee, W.H.K. and Engdahl, E.R., 2015. Bibliographical search for reliable seismic moments of large earthquakes during 1900-1979 to compute MW in the ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Reference Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009), *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 239, 25-32, doi: 10.1016/j.pepi.2014.06.004.

Lentas, K., 2018. Towards routine determination of focal mechanisms obtained from first motion P-wave arrivals, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 212(3), 1665–1686. doi: 10.1093/gji/ggx503

Lentas, K. and Harris, J., 2019. Enhanced performance of ISC focal mechanism computations as a result of automatic first-motion polarity picking optimization, J. Seismol., 1141-1159, https://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09862-x.

Lomax A., Satriano C. and Vassallo M., 2012. Automatic Picker Developments and Optimization: FilterPicker—a Robust, Broadband Picker for Real-Time Seismic Monitoring and Earthquake Early Warning. *Seism. Res. Lett*, 83 (3): 531–540. doi: https://doi.org/10.1785/gssrl.83.3.531

Schweitzer J., Storchak D.A., Borman P., 2019. Seismic Phase Nomenclature: The IASPEI Standard. In: Gupta H. (eds) Encyclopedia of Solid Earth Geophysics. *Encyclopedia of Earth Sciences Series*. Springer, Cham, https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-10475-7

Sigloch, K. and Nolet, G., 2006. Measuring finite-frequency body-wave amplitudes and traveltimes. *Geophys. J. Int.*, **167**(1): 271-287.

Stähler, S. and Sigloch, K., 2014. Fully probabilistic seismic source inversion-Part 1: Efficient parameterisation. *Solid Earth* (2): 1055-1069.

Stähler, S. C. and Sigloch, K., 2016. Fully probabilistic seismic source inversion-Part 2: Modelling errors and station covariances. *Solid Earth* (6): 1521-1536.

Storchak, D.A., J. Schweitzer, P. Bormann, 2003. The IASPEI Standard SeismicPhase List, *Seismol. Res. Lett.* 74, 6, 761-772.

Storchak, D.A., J. Schweitzer, P. Bormann, 2011. Seismic phase names: IASPEI Standard, in *Encylopedia of Solid Earth Geophysics*, 1162-1173, Ed. H.K. Gupta, Springer.

Storchak, D.A., Di Giacomo, D., Bondár, I., Engdahl, E. R., Harris, J., Lee, W.H.K., Villaseñor, A., and Bormann, P., 2013. Public Release of the ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009). *Seism. Res. Lett.*, 84, 5, 810-815, doi: 10.1785/0220130034.

Storchak, D.A., Di Giacomo, D., Engdahl, E.R., Harris, J., Bondár, I., Lee, W.H.K., Bormann, P., and Villaseñor, A., 2015. The ISC-GEM Global Instrumental Earthquake Catalogue (1900-2009): Introduction, *Phys. Earth Planet. Int.*, 239, 48-63, doi: 10.1016/j.pepi.2014.06.009.

Storchak, D.A., Harris, J., Brown, L., Lieser, K., Shumba, B., Verney, R., Di Giacomo, D., Korger, E. I. M., 2017. Rebuild of the Bulletin of the International Seismological Centre (ISC), part 1: 1964–1979. *Geosci. Lett.* (2017) 4: 32. doi: 10.1186/s40562-017-0098-z

Weston, J., Engdahl, E.R., Harris, J., Di Giacomo, D. and Storchak, D.A., 2018. ISC-EHB: Reconstruction of a robust earthquake dataset, *Geophys. J. Int.*, 24, 1, 474-484, doi: 10.1093/gji/ggy155

APPENDIX 1: STANDARD BULLETIN REPORTERS

139 institutions in 88 countries reported reviewed seismic bulletin data to the ISC during 2019. This number also includes the ISC itself, which now produces depth phase arrival times, polarities of first motions and event source mechanisms in addition to the traditional set of hypocentre solutions and magnitudes.

Albania	The Institute of Seismology, Academy of Sciences of Albania
Algeria	Centre de Recherche en Astronomie, Astrophysique et Geophysique
Argentina	Universidad Nacional de La Plata
Argentina	Instituto Nacional de Prevencion Sismica
Armenia	National Survey of Seismic Protection
Australia	Geoscience Australia
Australia	Curtin University
Austria	International Data Centre, CTBTO
Austria	Zentralanstalt fur Meteorologie und Geodynamik (ZAMG)
Belgium	Royal Observatory of Belgium
Bolivia	Observatorio San Calixto
Bosnia and	
Herzegovina	Republic Hydrometeorological Service, Seismological Observatory, Banja Luka
Botswana	Botswana Geoscience Institute
Brazil	Instituto Astronomico e Geofisico
Bulgaria	Geophysical Institute, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences
Cameroon	Seismological Observatory of Mount Cameroon
Canada	Canadian Hazards Information Service, Natural Resources Canada
Chile	Centro Sismologico Nacional, Universidad de Chile
China	China Earthquake Networks Center
Chinese Taipei	Institute of Earth Sciences, Academia Sinica
Chinese Taipei	CWB
Colombia	Red Sismologica Nacional de Colombia
Costa Rica	Seccion de Sismologia, Vulcanologia y Exploracion Geofisica
Croatia	Seismological Survey of the Republic of Croatia
Cyprus	Cyprus Geological Survey Department
Czech Republic	The Institute of Physics of the Earth (IPEC)
Czech Republic	Geophysical Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic
Czech Republic	Institute of Geophysics, Czech Academy of Sciences
Denmark	Geological Survey of Denmark and Greenland
Dominican Republic	Observatorio Sismologico Politecnico Loyola
Dominican Republic	Universidad Autonoma de Santo Domingo
DPRK	Korea Earthquake Administration
Egypt	National Research Institute of Astronomy and Geophysics
Ethiopia	University of Addis Ababa
Finland	Institute of Seismology, University of Helsinki
France	Centre Sismologique Euro-Mediterranen (CSEM/EMSC)

ISC: Annual 2017 Director's Report

France	Institut de Physique du Globe de Paris
France	Laboratoire de Detection et de Geophysique / CEA
France	EOST / RENaSS
French Polynesia	Laboratoire de Geophysique/CEA
Georgia	Institute of Earth Sciences/ National Seismic Monitoring Center
Germany	Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research
Germany	Bundesanstalt fur Geowissenschaften und Rohstoffe
Germany	Seismological Observatory Berggiesshubel, TU Bergakademie Freiberg
Germany	Geophysikalisches Observatorium Collm
Germany	Helmholtz Centre Potsdam GFZ German Research Centre For Geosciences
Germany	Landeserdbebendienst Baden-Wurttemberg
Greece	National Observatory of Athens
Greece	Department of Geophysics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki
Greece	University of Patras, Department of Geology
Guatemala	INSIVUMEH
Hong Kong	Hong Kong Observatory
Hungary	Geodetic and Geophysical Research Institute, Hungarian Academy of Sciences
Iceland	Icelandic Meteorological Office
India	National Geophysical Research Institute
India	National Centre for Seismology of the Ministry of Earth Sciences of India
Indonesia	Badan Meteorologi, Klimatologi dan Geofisika
Iran	Tehran University
Iraq	Iragi Meteorological and Seismology Organisation
Ireland	Dublin Institute for Advanced Studies
Israel	The Geophysical Institute of Israel
Italy	MedNet Regional Centroid - Moment Tensors
Italy	Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia
Italy	Istituto Nazionale di Oceanografia e di Geofisica Sperimentale (OGS)
Jamaica	Jamaica Seismic Network
Japan	Japan Meteorological Agency
Japan	National Research Institute for Earth Science and Disaster Prevention
Japan	National Institute of Polar Research
Jordan	Jordan Seismological Observatory
Kazakhstan	National Nuclear Center
Kazakhstan	Seismological Experimental Methodological Expedition
Kyrgyzstan	Kyrgyz Seismic Network
Kyrgyzstan	Institute of Seismology, Academy of Sciences of Kyrgyz Republic
Latvia	Latvian Seismic Network
Lebanon	National Council for Scientific Research
Lithuania	Geological Survey of Lithuania
Масао	Macao Meteorological and Geophysical Bureau
Malawi	Geological Survey Department Malawi
Malaysia	Malaysian Meteorological Service
Mexico	Centro de Investigacion Cientifica y de Educacion Superior de Ensenada
Mexico	Instituto de Geofisica de la UNAM

ISC: Annual 2017 Director's Report

Moldova	Institute of Geophysics and Geology
Montenegro	Seismological Institute of Montenegro
Nepal	National Seismological Centre, Nepal
New Zealand	Institute of Geological and Nuclear Sciences
North Macedonia	Seismological Observatory Skopje
Norway	University of Bergen
Norway	Stiftelsen NORSAR
Oman	Sultan Qaboos University
Panama	Universidad de Panama
Philippines	Philippine Institute of Volcanology and Seismology
Philippines	Manila Observatory
Poland	Institute of Geophysics, Polish Academy of Sciences
Portugal	Instituto Dom Luiz, University of Lisbon
Portugal	Instituto Portuges do Mar e da Atmosfera, I.P.
Portugal	Sistema de Vigilancia Sismologica dos Azores
Republic of Belarus	Centre of Geophysical Monitoring of the National Academy of Sciences of Belarus
Republic of Crimea	Inst. of Seismology and Geodynamics, V.I. Vernadsky Crimean Federal University
Romania	National Institute for Earth Physics
Russia	Baykal Regional Seismological Centre, GS SB RAS
Russia	Federal Center for Integrated Arctic Research
Russia	Institute of Environmental Problems of the North, Russian Academy of Sciences
Russia	Kola Regional Seismic Centre, GS RAS
Russia	Kamchatkan Experimental and Methodical Seismological Department, GS RAS
Russia	Mining Institute of the Ural Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences
Russia	Geophysical Survey of Russian Academy of Sciences
Russia	North Eastern Regional Seismological Centre, GS RAS
Russia	Sakhalin Experimental and Methodological Seismological Expedition, GS RAS
Russia	Yakutiya Regional Seismological Center, GS SB RAS
Serbia	Seismological Survey of Serbia
Slovakia	Geophysical Institute, Slovak Academy of Sciences
Slovenia	Slovenian Environment Agency
South Africa	Council for Geoscience
Spain	Instituto Geografico Nacional
Spain	Institut Cartografic i Geologic de Catalunya
Spain	Real Instituto y Observatorio de la Armada
Switzerland	Swiss Seismological Service (SED)
Syria	National Syrian Seismological Center
Trinidad and Tobago	Seismic Research Centre
Tunisia	Institut National de la Meteorologie
Turkey	Disaster and Emergency Management Presidency
Turkey	Kandilli Observatory and Research Institute
U.S.A.	Experimental (GSETT3) International Data Center
U.S.A.	The Global CMT Project
U.S.A.	IRIS Data Management Center
U.S.A.	National Earthquake Information Center

ISC: Annual 2017 Director's Report

U.S.A.	Pacific Northwest Seismic Network
U.S.A.	Pacific Tsunami Warning Center
U.S.A.	United States Geological Survey
Ukraine	Main Centre for Special Monitoring
Ukraine	Subbotin Institute of Geophysics, National Academy of Sciences
United Arab Emirates	Dubai Seismic Network
United Kingdom	British Geological Survey
United Kingdom	International Seismological Centre
Uzbekistan	Institute of Seismology, Academy of Sciences
Venezuela	Fundacion Venezolana de Investigaciones Sismologicas
Vietnam	National Center for Scientific Research
Zambia	Geological Survey Department of Zambia

APPENDIX 2: ISC DATA in RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS

This list is a result of a special effort to put together a collection of scientific papers published during 2019 that used ISC data. The list is by no means exhaustive. The ISC has become such a familiar name that many researchers sadly fail to reference their use of the ISC data.

To track publications that use one or more of the ISC dataset and services, we set up automatic alerts with Google Scholar for scientific papers that refer to ISC. The Google Scholar alerts return matches with different ways to refer to the ISC as normally done by authors, such as "International Seismological Centre", "International Seismological Center", "ISC-GEM", "ISC-EHB" and "EHB" + "seismic". No doubt many more references can be found by using different search phrases. Below are the bibliographic references to the ~280 works in year 2019 as gathered by Google Scholar. The references to articles published in journals are listed first, followed by the references to other types of publications (e.g., chapters in books, reports, thesis, websites). The references are sorted by journal name. The vast majority of the references below belong to journal articles.

Radulian, M., Bălă, A., Ardeleanu, L., Toma-Dănilă, D., Petrescu, L. and Popescu, E. (2019). Revised catalogue of earthquake mechanisms for the events occurred in Romania until the end of twentieth century: REFMC, Acta Geod. Geoph., 54, 1, 3-18, http://doi.org/10.1007/s40328-018-0243-y.

Timkó, M., Kovács, I. and Wéber, Z. (2019). 3D P-wave velocity image beneath the Pannonian Basin using traveltime tomography, Acta Geod. Geoph., 54, 3, 373-386, http://doi.org/10.1007/s40328-019-00267-3.

Ashtari Jafari, M. (2019). Teleseismic moment tensors of the 5 April 2017, Mw 6.1, Fariman, northeast Iran, earthquake, Acta Geophys., 67, 2, 437-448, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-019-00256-8.

Chingtham, P., Tiwari, A. and Kumar Yadav, A. (2019). Forecasting seismic activity rates in northwest Himalaya through multivariate autoregressive forecast of seismicity algorithm, Acta Geophys., 67, 2, 465-476, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11600-019-00267-5.

Eluyemi, A., Baruah, S., Sharma, S. and Baruah, S. (2019). Recent Seismotectonic Stress Regime of most Seismically active zones of gulf of Guinea and its Kinematic implications on the adjoining sub-Sahara west African region, Annls Geophys., 62, http://doi.org/10.4401/ag-7877.

Kumar, D. and Duarah, B.P. (2019). Neo-tectonic signatures in the Mishmi Massif, Eastern Himalayas: an interpretation on the basis of the Lohit River Basin geometry, Arabian J. Geosci., 12, 21, http://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-019-4851-9.

Morozova, E.R. and Turova, A.P. (2019). Impact of seasonal variations of ambient seismic noise level on the number of registered earthquakes in the Arctic regions, Arctic Environmental Research, 19, 3, 123-128, http://doi.org/10.3897/issn2541-8416.2019.19.3.123.

Turova, A.P. and Morozova, E.R. (2019). The contribution of the seismic station "Severnaya Zemlya" to the study of arctic seismicity, Arctic Environmental Research, 19, 4, 139-145, http://doi.org/10.3897/issn2541-8416.2019.19.4.139.

Dickey, J., Borghetti, B., Junek, W. and Martin, R. (2019). Beyond Correlation: A Path-Invariant Measure for Seismogram Similarity, arXiv:1904.07936, 1-13.

Yao, Z., Xia, Y. and Fan, Z. (2019). Fixed Boundary Flows, arXiv:1904.11332, 1-30.

Rahimi, M. and Yahyaabadi, A. (2019). Bayesian probabilistic seismic hazard analysis with respect to near-fault effects, Asian J. Civil Engng., 20, 3, 341-349, http://doi.org/10.1007/s42107-018-00109-7.

Sandron, D., Santulin, M., Tamaro, A., Orci, C., Benedetti, G., Castellaro, S., Romeo, R., Rebez, A., Grimaz, S., Malisan, P., Guadagnini, G., Sciascia, F. and Slejko, D. (2019). Seismic parameter design assessment for the Kribi deep seaport in Cameroon, Bull. Earthquake Eng., 17, 4, 1825-1861, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-018-0518-2.

Zaman, M. and Ghayamghamian, M.R. (2019). Risk-adjusted design basis earthquake: a case study of Tehran megacity, Bull. Earthquake Eng., 17, 7, 3777-3799, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-019-00625-0.

Ahmad, N., Akbar, J., Rizwan, M., Alam, B., Khan, A.N. and Lateef, A. (2019). Haunch retrofitting technique for seismic upgrading deficient RC frames, Bull. Earthquake Eng., 17, 7, 3895-3932, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-019-00638-9.

Ebrahimian, H., Jalayer, F., Forte, G., Convertito, V., Licata, V., d'Onofrio, A., Santo, A., Silvestri, F. and Manfredi, G. (2019). Sitespecific probabilistic seismic hazard analysis for the western area of Naples, Italy, Bull. Earthquake Eng., 17, 9, 4743-4796, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10518-019-00678-1.

Gamage, P. and Venkatesan, S. (2019). Seismicity and seismotectonics in and around Sri Lanka: a synoptic review, Bull. Eng. Geol. Environ., 79, 2, 571-586, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10064-019-01576-1.

Dhakal, S., Bai, L., Neupane, B., Li, L. and Song, B. (2019). Review of earthquake activity and faulting structure in Nepal Himalaya, Bulletin of Nepal Geological Society, 36, 259-261.

Wang, B., Harrington, R.M., Liu, Y., Kao, H. and Yu, H. (2019). Remote Dynamic Triggering of Earthquakes in Three Unconventional Canadian Hydrocarbon Regions Based on a Multiple-Station Matched-Filter Approach, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 1, 372-386, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120180164.

García-Hernández, R., D'Auria, L., Barrancos, J. and Padilla, G.D.

(2019). On the Functional Expression of Frequency-Magnitude Distributions: A Comprehensive Statistical Examination, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 1, 482-486, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120180197.

Poulos, A., Monsalve, M., Zamora, N. and de la Llera, J.C. (2019). An Updated Recurrence Model for Chilean Subduction Seismicity and Statistical Validation of Its Poisson Nature, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 1, 66-74, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120170160.

Mosca, I., Baptie, B., Sargeant, S. and Walker, R.T. (2019). Integrating Outcomes from Probabilistic and Deterministic Seismic Hazard Analysis in the Tien Shan, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 2, 688-715, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120180081. Wong, I., Thomas, P., Koehler, R. and Lewandowski, N. (2019). Assessing the Seismic Hazards in Jamaica Incorporating Geodetic and Quaternary Fault Data, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 2, 716-731, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120180205.

Singha, P., Dewangan, P., Kamesh Raju, K.A., Aswini, K.K. and Ramakrushana Reddy, T. (2019). Geometry of the Subducting Indian Plate and Local Seismicity in the Andaman Region from the Passive OBS Experiment, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 2, 797-811, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120180178.

Darzi, A., Zolfaghari, M.R., Cauzzi, C. and Fäh, D. (2019). An Empirical Ground-Motion Model for Horizontal PGV, PGA, and 5% Damped Elastic Response Spectra (0.01-10s) in Iran, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 3, 1041-1057, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120180196.

Das, R., Sharma, M., Choudhury, D. and Gonzalez, G. (2019). A Seismic Moment Magnitude Scale, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 4, 1542-1555, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120180338.

Morozov, A.N., Vaganova, N.V., Shakhova, E.V., Konechnaya, Y.V., Asming, V.E., Antonovskaya, G.N. and Evtyugina, Z.A. (2019). Seismicity of the Arctic in the Early Twentieth Century: Relocation of the 1904-1920 Earthquakes, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 5, 2000-2008, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120190018.

Newrkla, K., Shiddiqi, H.A., Jerkins, A.E., Keers, H. and Ottemöller, L. (2019). Implications of 3D Seismic Raytracing on Focal Mechanism Determination, Bull. seism. Soc. Am., 109, 6, 2746-2754, http://doi.org/10.1785/0120190184.

Meghraoui, M., Amponsah, P., Bernard, P. and Ateba, B. (2019). Active transform faults in the Gulf of Guinea: insights from geophysical data and implications for seismic hazard assessment, Can. J. Earth Sci., 56, 12, 1398-1408, http://doi.org/10.1139/cjes-2018-0321.

Yang, J., Cheng, C., Song, C., Shen, S., Zhang, T. and Ning, L. (2019). Spatial-temporal Distribution Characteristics of Global Seismic Clusters and Associated Spatial Factors, Chinese Geographical Science, 29, 4, 614-625, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11769-019-1059-6.

Vergaray, L.F., Aguilar, Z.B. and Cornejo, R.S. (2019). Peruvian Subduction Surface Model for Seismic Hazard Assessments, Civil Engineering Journal, 5, 5, 984-995, http://doi.org/10.28991/cej-2019-03091305.

Siddharth, D., Debarchan, P., Jashodhara, C., Monumoy, G., Riddhi, M., Jyotima, K. and Supriyo, M. (2019). 28 August 2018 (Mw 4.5) Bengal Basin earthquake highlights active basement fault beneath the sediments, Curr. Sci., 116, 10, 1633-1636.

Chetia, T., Baruah, S., Dey, C., Sharma, S. and Baruah, S. (2019). Probabilistic Analysis of Seismic Data for Earthquake Forecast in North East India and its Vicinity, Curr. Sci., 117, 7, 1167, http://doi.org/10.18520/cs/v117/i7/1167-1173.

Sorokin, A.G. and Klyuchevskii, A.V. (2019). Infrasonic signals from earthquakes of December 5, 2014, in the water area of Lake Hovsgol, Northern Mongolia, Dokl. Earth Sci., 484, 2, 198-202, http://doi.org/10.1134/S1028334X1902017X.

Sorokin, A.G. and Klyuchevskii, A.V. (2019). Infrasonic Signals from Earthquakes of December 5, 2014, in the Water Area of Lake Hovsgol, Northern Mongolia, Dokl. Earth Sci., 484, 2, 198-202, http://doi.org/10.1134/s1028334x1902017x.

Wang, W.-S. and Song, X.-D. (2019). Analyses of anomalous amplitudes of antipodal PKIIKP waves, Earth and Planetary Physics, 3, 3, 212-217, http://doi.org/10.26464/epp2019023.

Jia, Z., Shen, Z., Zhan, Z., Li, C., Peng, Z. and Gurnis, M. (2019). The 2018 Fiji Mw 8.2 and 7.9 deep earthquakes: one doublet in two slabs, EarthArXiv, ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.31223/osf.io/kfma4.

Materna, K., Wei, S., Wang, X., Heng, L., Wang, T., Chen, W., Salman, R. and Bürgmann, R. (2019). Source characteristics of the 2017 Mw 6.4 Moijabana, Botswana earthquake, a rare lowercrustal event within an ancient zone of weakness, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 506, 348-359, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.11.007.

Yadav, R.K., Gahalaut, V.K., Bansal, A.K., Sati, S.P., Catherine, J., Gautam, P., Kumar, K. and Rana, N. (2019). Strong seismic coupling underneath Garhwal-Kumaun region, NW Himalaya, India, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 506, 8-14, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2018.10.023.

Sun, W., Zhao, L., Malusà, M.G., Guillot, S. and Fu, L.-Y. (2019). 3-D Pn tomography reveals continental subduction at the boundaries of the Adriatic microplate in the absence of a precursor oceanic slab, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 510, 131-141, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.01.012.

Mittal, T. and Delbridge, B. (2019). Detection of the 2012 Havre submarine eruption plume using Argo floats and its implications for ocean dynamics, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 511, 105-116, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.01.035.

Agurto-Detzel, H., Font, Y., Charvis, P., Régnier, M., Rietbrock, A., Ambrois, D., Paulatto, M., Alvarado, A., Beck, S., Courboulex, F., De Barros, L., Deschamps, A., Hernandez, M.J., Hernandez, S., Hoskins, M., León-Ríos, S., Lynner, C., Meltzer, A., Mercerat, E.D., Michaud, F., Nocquet, J.M., Rolandone, F., Ruiz, M. and Soto-Cordero, L. (2019). Ridge subduction and afterslip control aftershock distribution of the 2016 Mw 7.8 Ecuador earthquake, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 520, 63-76, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.05.029.

White, L.T., Rawlinson, N., Lister, G.S., Waldhauser, F., Hejrani, B., Thompson, D.A., Tanner, D., Macpherson, C.G., Tkalčić, H. and Morgan, J.P. (2019). Earth's deepest earthquake swarms track fluid ascent beneath nascent arc volcanoes, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 521, 25-36, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.05.048.

Boyce, A., Bastow, I.D., Golos, E.M., Rondenay, S., Burdick, S. and Van der Hilst, R.D. (2019). Variable modification of continental lithosphere during the Proterozoic Grenville orogeny: Evidence from teleseismic P-wave tomography, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 525, 115763, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115763.

Sandiford, D., Moresi, L., Sandiford, M. and Yang, T. (2019). Geometric controls on flat slab seismicity, Earth planet. Sci. Lett., 527, 115787, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsl.2019.115787.

Chang, T.-W. and Ide, S. (2019). Empirical relocation of large subduction-zone earthquakes via the teleseismic network correlation coefficient method, Earth Planets Space, 71, 1, , http://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-019-1057-z.

Fukushima, Y., Hashimoto, M., Miyazawa, M., Uchida, N. and Taira, T. (2019). Surface creep rate distribution along the Philippine fault, Leyte Island, and possible repeating of $Mw \sim 6.5$

earthquakes on an isolated locked patch, Earth Planets Space, 71, 118, http://doi.org/10.1186/s40623-019-1096-5.

Green, R.A. and Bommer, J.J. (2019). What is the Smallest Earthquake Magnitude that Needs to be Considered in Assessing Liquefaction Hazard?, Earthq. Spectra, 35, 3, 1441-1464, http://doi.org/10.1193/032218eqs064m.

Kishida, T., Park, D., Sousa, R.L., Armstrong, R. and Byon, Y.-J. (2019). Modulus reductions of dam embankment materials based on downhole array time series, Earthq. Spectra, 36, 1, 400-421, http://doi.org/10.1177/8755293019878182.

Salamon, A. and Di Manna, P. (2019). Empirical constraints on magnitude-distance relationships for seismically-induced submarine tsunamigenic landslides, Earth Sci. Rev., 191, 66-92, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.earscirev.2019.02.001.

Lu, N.T., Thu Hang, P.T., Huu, N.T., Giang, H.T. and Hai, N.T. (2019). The Characteristics of Aftershock Activities of Dien Bien Earthquake on 19 February 2001 and Their Relation to the Local Geomorphological, Tectonic Features, Ekológia (Bratislava), 38, 2, 189-200, http://doi.org/10.2478/eko-2019-0015.

Nemer, T.S. (2019). The Bisri dam project: A dam on the seismogenic Roum fault, Lebanon, Engng Geol., 261, 105270, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.enggeo.2019.105270.

Alapati, U., Jampani, M. and Sukhtankar, R.K. (2019). Geomorphic response of the river basin drainage in seismically active regions of India, Environ. Earth Sci., 78, 532, , http://doi.org/10.1007/s12665-019-8547-y.

Majhi, S.K., Hossain, S.S. and Padhi, T. (2019). MFOFLANN: moth flame optimized functional link artificial neural network for prediction of earthquake magnitude, Evolving Systems, 11, 1, 45-63, http://doi.org/10.1007/s12530-019-09293-6.

Hensen, C., Duarte, J.C., Vannucchi, P., Mazzini, A., Lever, M.A., Terrinha, P., Géli, L., Henry, P., Villinger, H., Morgan, J., Schmidt, M., Gutscher, M.-A., Bartolome, R., Tomonaga, Y., Polonia, A., Gràcia, E., Tinivella, U., Lupi, M., Çağatay, M.N., Elvert, M., Sakellariou, D., Matias, L., Kipfer, R., Karageorgis, A.P., Ruffine, L., Liebetrau, V., Pierre, C., Schmi

dt, C., Batista, L., Gasperini, L., Burwicz, E., Neres, M. and Nuzzo, M. (2019). Marine Transform Faults and Fracture Zones: A Joint Perspective Integrating Seismicity, Fluid Flow and Life, Frontiers in Earth Science, 7, http://doi.org/10.3389/feart.2019.00039.

Wei, W., Zhao, D., Wei, F., Bai, X. and Xu, J. (2019). Mantle Dynamics of the Eastern Mediterranean and Middle East: Constraints From P-Wave Anisotropic Tomography, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 20, 10, 4505-4530, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gc008512.

Sturgeon, W., Ferreira, A.M.G., Faccenda, M., Sung-Joon, C. and Schardong, L. (2019). On the Origin of Radial Anisotropy Near Subducted Slabs in the Midmantle, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 20, 11, 5105-5125, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gc008462.

Gómez-García, Á.M., Meeßen, C., Scheck-Wenderoth, M.,

Monsalve, G., Bott, J., Bernhardt, A. and Bernal, G. (2019). 3-D Modeling of Vertical Gravity Gradients and the Delimitation of Tectonic Boundaries: The Caribbean Oceanic Domain as a Case Study, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 20, 11, 5371-5393, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gc008340.

Lim, A., Brönner, M., Johansen, S.E. and Dumais, M. (2019). Hydrothermal Activity at the Ultraslow-Spreading Mohns Ridge: New Insights From Near-Seafloor Magnetics, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 20, 12, 5691-5709, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019qc008439.

Hansen, S.E., Evangelidis, C.P. and Papadopoulos, G.A. (2019). Imaging Slab Detachment Within the Western Hellenic Subduction Zone, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 20, 2, 895-912, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018gc007810.

Yohler, R., Bartlow, N., Wallace, L.M. and Williams, C. (2019). Time-Dependent Behavior of a Near-Trench Slow-Slip Event at the Hikurangi Subduction Zone, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., 20, 8, 4292-4304, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gc008229.

Ma, J., Tian, Y., Zhao, D., Liu, C. and Liu, T. (2019). Mantle Dynamics of Western Pacific and East Asia: New Insights from P-Wave Anisotropic Tomography, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gc008373.

Lai, H., Garnero, E.J., Grand, S.P., Porritt, R.W. and Becker, T.W. (2019). Global Travel Time Data Set From Adaptive Empirical Wavelet Construction, Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst., ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018gc007905.

Mindel, I.G., Trifonov, B.A., Kaurkin, M.D. and Nesynov, V.V. (2019). Assessment of initial seismic impacts on the northern part of the Barents Sea shelf (Novaya Zemlya region) for the purpose of seismic microzoning in the areas of prospective hydrocarbon mining, Geoecology. Engineering Geology. Hydrogeology. Geocryology, 2, 38-47, http://doi.org/10.31857/s0869-78092019238-47.

Lamessa, G., Mammo, T. and Raghuvanshi, T.K. (2019). Homogenized earthquake catalog and b-value mapping for Ethiopia and its adjoining regions, Geoenvironmental Disasters, 6, 1, http://doi.org/10.1186/s40677-019-0131-y.

Malavieille, J., Dominguez, S., Lu, C.-Y., Chen, C.-T. and Konstantinovskaya, E. (2019). Deformation partitioning in mountain belts: insights from analogue modelling experiments and the Taiwan collisional orogen, Geological Magazine, ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.1017/s0016756819000645.

Gosar, A. (2019). Review of geological and seismotectonic investigations related to 1998 Mw 5.6 and 2004 Mw 5.2 earthquakes in Krn Mountains, Geologija, 62, 1, 61-73, http://doi.org/10.5474/geologija.2019.002.

McNab, F., Sloan, R.A. and Walker, R.T. (2019). Simultaneous orthogonal shortening in the Afghan-Tajik Depression, Geology, 47, 9, 862-866, http://doi.org/10.1130/g46090.1.

Bilham, R. (2019). Himalayan earthquakes: a review of historical seismicity and early 21st century slip potential, Geol. Soc. Spec. Publ., 483, 5, http://doi.org/10.1144/sp483.16.

Roig-Munar, F.X., Rodríguez-Perea, A., Vilaplana, J.M., Martín-Prieto, J.A. and Gelabert, B. (2019). Tsunami boulders in Majorca Island (Balearic Islands, Spain), Geomorphology, 334, 76-90, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2019.02.012.

Tsang-Hin-Sun, E., Batsi, E., Klingelhoefer, F. and Géli, L. (2019). Spatial and temporal dynamics of gas-related processes in the Sea of Marmara monitored with ocean bottom seismometers, Geophys. J. Int., 216, 3, 1989-2003, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggy535.

Kintner, J.A., Wauthier, C. and Ammon, C.J. (2019). InSAR and seismic analyses of the 2014 - 15 earthquake sequence near Bushkan, Iran: shallow faulting in the core of an anticline fold, Geophys. J. Int., 217, 2, 1011-1023, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz065.

Savidge, E., Nissen, E., Nemati, M., Karasözen, E., Hollingsworth, J., Talebian, M., Bergman, E., Ghods, A., Ghorashi, M., Kosari, E., Rashidi, A. and Rashidi, A. (2019). The December 2017 Hojedk (Iran) earthquake triplet - sequential rupture of shallow reverse faults in a strike-slip restraining bend, Geophys. J. Int., 217, 2, 909-925, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz053.

Schettino, A., Ranalli, G., Fierro, E., Pierantoni, P.P., Zanoni, D., Turco, E. and Rasul, N. (2019). Rift-drift transition in the Red Sea: a rheological model of the early stage of sea floor spreading, Geophys. J. Int., 217, 3, 1870-1893, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz123.

Kanamori, H., Rivera, L. and Lambotte, S. (2019). Evidence for a large strike-slip component during the 1960 Chilean earthquake, Geophys. J. Int., 218, 1, 1-32, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz113.

Aflaki, M., Mousavi, Z., Ghods, A., Shabanian, E., Vajedian, S. and Akbarzadeh, M. (2019). The 2017 Mw 6 Sefid Sang earthquake and its implication for the geodynamics of NE Iran, Geophys. J. Int., 218, 2, 1227-1245, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz172.

Kanamori, H., Rivera, L., Ye, L., Lay, T., Murotani, S. and Tsumura, K. (2019). New constraints on the 1922 Atacama, Chile, earthquake from Historical seismograms, Geophys. J. Int., 219, 1, 645-661, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz302.

Diekmann, L., Schwarz, B., Bauer, A. and Gajewski, D. (2019). Source localization and joint velocity model building using wavefront attributes, Geophys. J. Int., 219, 2, 995-1007, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz342.

Kanaujia, J., Mitra, S., Gupta, S.C. and Sharma, M.L. (2019). Crustal anisotropy from shear-wave splitting of local earthquakes in the Garhwal Lesser Himalaya, Geophys. J. Int., 219, 3,2013-2033, http://doi.org/10.1093/gji/ggz404.

Fan, W., McGuire, J.J., de Groot-Hedlin, C.D., Hedlin, M.A.H.,

Coats, S. and Fiedler, J.W. (2019). Stormquakes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 22, 12909-12918, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl084217.

Fang, H. and van der Hilst, R.D. (2019). Earthquake Depth Phase Extraction With P Wave Autocorrelation Provides Insight Into Mechanisms of Intermediate-Depth Earthquakes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 24, 14440-14449, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl085062.

Chen, M., Manea, V.C., Niu, F., Shawn Wei, S. and Kiser, E. (2019). Genesis of Intermediate-Depth and Deep Intraslab Earthquakes beneath Japan Constrained by Seismic Tomography, Seismicity, and Thermal Modeling, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 4, 2025-2036, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl080025.

Fan, W., Shawn Wei, S., Tian, D., McGuire, J.J. and Wiens, D.A. (2019). Complex and Diverse Rupture Processes of the 2018 Mw

8.2 and Mw 7.9 Tonga-Fiji Deep Earthquakes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 5, 2434-2448, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl080997.

Liu, C., Lay, T., Xie, Z. and Xiong, X. (2019). Intraslab Deformation in the 30 November 2018 Anchorage, Alaska, Mw 7.1 Earthquake, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 5, 2449-2457, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019gl082041.

Wang, S., Xu, W., Xu, C., Yin, Z., Bürgmann, R., Liu, L. and Jiang, G. (2019). Changes in Groundwater Level Possibly Encourage Shallow Earthquakes in Central Australia: The 2016 Petermann Ranges Earthquake, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 6, 3189-3198, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl080510.

Boneh, Y., Schottenfels, E., Kwong, K., van Zelst, I., Tong, X., Eimer, M., Miller, M.S., Moresi, L., Warren, J.M., Wiens, D.A., Billen, M., Naliboff, J. and Zhan, Z. (2019). Intermediate-Depth Earthquakes Controlled by Incoming Plate Hydration Along Bending-Related Faults, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 7, 3688-3697, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018gl081585.

Florez, M.A. and Prieto, G.A. (2019). Controlling Factors of Seismicity and Geometry in Double Seismic Zones, Geophys. Res. Lett., 46, 8, 4174-4181, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018al081168.

Şeşetyan, K., Demircioğlu Tümsa, M.B. and Akinci, A. (2019). Evaluation of The Seismic Hazard in The Marmara Region (Turkey) Based on Updated Databases, Geosciences, 9, 12, 489, http://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences9120489.

Gitis, V.G. and Derendyaev, A.B. (2019). Machine Learning Methods for Seismic Hazards Forecast, Geosciences, 9, 7, 308, http://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences9070308.

Theilen-Willige, B. and Wenzel, H. (2019). Remote Sensing and GIS Contribution to a Natural Hazard Database in Western Saudi Arabia, Geosciences, 9, 9, 380, http://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences9090380.

Mokhtari, M., Amjadi, A.A., Mahshadnia, L. and Rafizadeh, M. (2019). A review of the seismotectonics of the Makran Subduction Zone as a baseline for Tsunami Hazard Assessments, Geosci. Lett., 6, 1, http://doi.org/10.1186/s40562-019-0143-1.

Sana, H. (2019). A probabilistic approach to the seismic hazard in Kashmir basin, NW Himalaya, Geosci. Lett., 6, 1, http://doi.org/10.1186/s40562-019-0136-0.

Wong, N.Z., Feng, L. and Hill, E.M. (2019). GPS-based slip models of one Mw 7.2 and twenty moderate earthquakes along the Sumatran plate boundary, Geosci. Lett., 6, 1, http://doi.org/10.1186/s40562-019-0138-y.

Corbeau, J., Gonzalez, O.L., Clouard, V., Rolandone, F., Leroy, S., Keir, D., Stuart, G., Momplaisir, R., Boisson, D. and Prépetit, C. (2019). Is the local seismicity in western Hispaniola (Haiti) capable of imaging northern Caribbean subduction?, Geosphere, 15, 6, 1738-1750, http://doi.org/10.1130/ges02083.1.

Trikhunkov, Y.I., Bachmanov, D.M., Gaidalenok, O.V., Marinin, A.V. and Sokolov, S.A. (2019). Recent Mountain Building at the Junction Zone of the Northwestern Caucasus and Intermediate Kerch-Taman Region, Russia, Geotectonics, 53, 4, 517-532, http://doi.org/10.1134/s001685211904006x.

Zarei, S., Khatib, M.M., Zare, M. and Mousavi, S.M. (2019). Evaluation of Seismicity Triggering: Insights from the Coulomb

Static Stress Changes after the 30 August 1968 Dasht-e-Bayaz Earthquake (Mw = 7.1), Eastern Iran, Geotectonics, 53, 5, 601-616, http://doi.org/10.1134/s0016852119050078.

Salah, M.K. (2019). Seismological Evidence for Lithospheric Low-Velocity Anomalies beneath the Eastern Mediterranean: Impact of Tectonics, Geotectonics, 53, 5, 617-633, http://doi.org/10.1134/s0016852119050054.

Erbek, E. and Nuri Dolmaz, M. (2019). Investigation of the thermal structure and radiogenic heat production through aeromagnetic data for the southeastern Aegean Sea and western part of Turkey, Geothermics, 81, 113-122, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.geothermics.2019.04.011.

Mousavi, S.M., Sheng, Y., Zhu, W. and Beroza, G.C. (2019). STanford EArthquake Dataset (STEAD): A Global Data Set of Seismic Signals for AI, IEEE Access, 7, 179464-179476, http://doi.org/10.1109/access.2019.2947848.

Gerringer, M.E. (2019). On the Success of the Hadal Snailfishes, Integrative Organismal Biology, 1, 1, 1-18, http://doi.org/10.1093/iob/obz004.

Riaz, M.S., Bin, S., Naeem, S., Kai, W., Xie, Z., Gilani, S.M.M. and Ashraf, U. (2019). Over 100~years of faults interaction, stress accumulation, and creeping implications, on Chaman Fault System, Pakistan, Int. J. Earth Sci., 108, 4, 1351-1359, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00531-019-01710-0.

Nabi, A., Liu, X., Gong, Z., Pervaiz, K., Ali, A. and Khalil, U. (2019). Seismotectonic analyses of Karachi Arc, Southern Kirthar Fold Belt, Pakistan, Int. J. Econ. Environ. Geol., 10, 2, 9-18.

Sianturi, H.L., Susilo, A., Sunaryo and Maryanto, S. (2019). Correlation Analysis of Spatial Distribution, Temporal Seismotectonics, and Return Period of Earthquake in East Nusa Tenggara, Indonesia, Int. J. Geophys., 2019, 5485783, 1-11, http://doi.org/10.1155/2019/5485783.

Hussien, B.A. and Abdulnaby, W. (2019). Earthquake Distributions of the Mesopotamia Plain, Iraq, IOSR Journal of Applied Geology and Geophysics, 7, 2, 16-20, http://doi.org/10.9790/0990-0702011620.

Chebrov, D.V., Kugaenko, Y.A., Lander, A.V., Abubakirov, I.R., Gusev, A.A., Droznina, S.Y., Mityushkina, S.V., Ototyuk, D.A., Pavlov, V.M. and Titkov, N.N. (2019). Near islands Aleutian earthquake with Mw = 7.8 on Jjuly 17, 2017: I. Extended rupture along the commander block of the Aleutian island arc from observations in Kamchatka, Izv. Phys. Solid Earth, 55, 4, 576-599, http://doi.org/10.1134/S1069351319040037.

Smirnov, V.B., Ommi, S., Potanina, M.G., Mikhailov, V.O., Petrov, A.G., Shapiro, N.M. and Ponomarev, A.V. (2019). Estimates of Lithospheric Failure Cycle Parameters from Regional Earthquake Catalogues, Izv. Phys. Solid Earth, 55, 5, 701-718, http://doi.org/10.1134/s1069351319050124.

Badreldin, H., Khairy Abd el-aal, A.-, Toni, M. and El-Faragawy, K. (2019). Moment tensor inversion of small-to-moderate size local earthquakes in Egypt, J. Afr. Earth. Sci., 151, 153-172, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2018.12.004.

Fat-Helbary, R.E.-S., El-Faragawy, K.O. and Hamed, A. (2019). Application of HVSR technique in the site effects estimation at the

south of Marsa Alam city, Egypt, J. Afr. Earth. Sci., 1, 54, 89-100, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2019.03.015.

Mulibo, G.D. (2019). Investigation of macroseismic intensity of the Mw 5.9 September 10, 2016 Kagera earthquake: Implications for site effect amplification, J. Afr. Earth. Sci., 159, 103568, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2019.103568.

Mostafa, S.I., Abdelhafiez, H.E. and Abd el-aal, A.-K. (2019).Deterministic scenarios for seismic hazard assessment in Egypt, J.Afr.Earth.Sci.,160,103655,http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2019.103655.

Abdul Latiff, A.H. and Khalil, A.E. (2019). Crustal thickness and velocity structure of Malay Peninsula inferred from joint inversion of receiver functions and surface waves dispersion, J. Asian Earth Sci., 169, 105-116, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.08.011.

Nemati, M. (2019). Seismotectonic and seismicity of Makran, a bimodal subduction zone, SE Iran, J. Asian Earth Sci., 169, 139-161, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.08.009.

Su, Z., Yang, Y.-H., Li, Y.-S., Xu, X.-W., Zhang, J., Zhou, X., Ren, J.-J., Wang, E.-C., Hu, J.-C., Zhang, S.-M. and Talebian, M. (2019). Coseismic displacement of the 5 April 2017 Mashhad earthquake (Mw 6.1) in NE Iran through Sentinel-1A TOPS data: New implications for the strain partitioning in the southern Binalud Mountains, J. Asian Earth Sci., 169, 244-256, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.08.010.

Tian, Z., Yang, Z., Bendick, R., Zhao, J., Wang, S., Wu, X. and Shi, Y. (2019). Present-day distribution of deformation around the southern Tibetan Plateau revealed by geodetic and seismic observations, J. Asian Earth Sci., 171, 321-333, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.12.018.

Du, M. and Lei, J. (2019). Pn anisotropic tomography of Northeast China and its implications to mantle dynamics, J. Asian Earth Sci., 171, 334-347, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2018.08.033.

Hajra, S., Hazarika, D., Bankhwal, M., Kundu, A. and Kumar, N. (2019). Average crustal thickness and Poisson's ratio beneath the Kali River Valley, Kumaon Himalaya, J. Asian Earth Sci.,173, 176-188, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.01.010.

Gui, Z., Bai, Y., Wang, Z. and Li, T. (2019). Seismic b-value
anomalies in the Sumatran region: Seismotectonic implications, J.AsianEarthSci.,173,29-41,http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.01.015.

Ponraj, M., Amirtharaj, S., Sunil, P.S., Saji, A.P., Vijay Kumar, K., Arora, S.K., Reddy, C.D. and Begum, S.K. (2019). An assessment of present-day crustal deformation in the Kumaun Himalaya from GPS observations, J. Asian Earth Sci., 176, 274-280, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.02.019.

Kalafat, D. and Görgün, E. (2019). Source characteristics and b-
values of the Tuz Gölü Fault Zone in Central Anatolia, Turkey, J.AsianEarthSci.,179,337-349,http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.05.005.

Qiu, Q. and Chan, C.-H. (2019). Coulomb stress perturbation after great earthquakes in the Sumatran subduction zone: Potential impacts in the surrounding region, J. Asian Earth Sci., 180, 103869, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.103869.

Sawires, R., Peláez, J.A., AlHamaydeh, M. and Henares, J. (2019). A state-of-the-art seismic source model for the United Arab Emirates, J. Asian Earth Sci., 186, 104063, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jseaes.2019.104063.

Mukhopadhyay, B., Mukhopadhyay, M., Elawadi, E., Ghosh, U.K. and Pramanik, K. (2019). Cinder cone morphometry in relation to gravity anomaly zones in the Harrat al Birk and Asir foreland, SW Saudi Arabia, J. Earth Syst. Sci., 128, 6, 158, http://doi.org/10.1007/s12040-019-1188-4.

Zhao, D., Qu, C., Shan, X., Gong, W., Zhang, G. and Song, X. (2019). New insights into the 2010 Yushu Mw 6.9 mainshock and Mw 5.8 aftershock, China, from InSAR observations and inversion, J. Geodyn., 125, 22-31, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2019.01.008.

Afegbua, K.U., Ezomo, F.O., Osahon, O.D., Yakubu, T.A. and Sanni, H.T. (2019). Probabilistic seismic hazard assessment for national planning and development in Nigeria, J. Geodyn., 126,46-55, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2019.03.004.

Saikia, S., Baruah, S., Chopra, S., Gogoi, B., Singh, U.K. and Bharali, B. (2019). An appraisal of crustal structure of the Indo-Burmese subduction region, J. Geodyn., 127, 16-30, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2019.05.002.

Papadopoulos, G., Agalos, A., Charalampakis, M., Kontoes, C., Papoutsis, I., Atzori, S., Svigkas, N. and Triantafyllou, I. (2019). Fault models for the Bodrum-Kos tsunamigenic earthquake (Mw 6.6) of 20 July 2017 in the east Aegean Sea, J. Geodyn., 131, 101646, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jog.2019.101646.

Puri, N. and Jain, A. (2019). Microzonation of Seismic Hazard for the State of Haryana, India, J. geol. Soc. India, 94, 3, 297-308, http://doi.org/10.1007/s12594-019-1310-x.

Wang, X., Wei, S., Wang, Y., Maung Maung, P., Hubbard, J., Banerjee, P., Bor-Shouh, H., Moe Oo, K., Bodin, T., Foster, A. and Almeida, R. (2019). A 3-D Shear-Wave Velocity Model for Myanmar Region, J. geophys. Res., 124, 1, 504-526, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb016622.

Huang, Z., Wang, L., Xu, M., Zhao, D., Mi, N. and Yu, D. (2019). P and S Wave Tomography Beneath the SE Tibetan Plateau: Evidence for Lithospheric Delamination, J. geophys. Res., 124, 10, 10292-10308, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017430.

Liu, S., Suardi, I., Zheng, M., Yang, D., Huang, X. and Tong, P. (2019). Slab Morphology Beneath Northern Sumatra Revealed by Regional and Teleseismic Traveltime Tomography, J. geophys.Res., 124, 10, 10544-10564, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017625.

Lu, C., Grand, S.P., Lai, H. and Garnero, E.J. (2019). TX2019slab: A New P and S Tomography Model Incorporating Subducting Slabs, J. geophys. Res., 124, 11, 11549-11567, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017448.

Wang, Z. and Kao, H. (2019). The Significance of Tomographic Edge Zones for Large Earthquakes in Taiwan, J. geophys. Res., 124, 11, 11822-11839, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017875.

Kwong, K.B., DeShon, H.R., Saul, J. and Thurber, C.H. (2019). Constraining the Oceanic Lithosphere Seismogenic Zone Using Teleseismic Relocations of the 2012 Wharton Basin Great Earthquake Sequence, J. geophys. Res., 124, 11, 11938-11950, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017549. Craig, T.J. (2019). Accurate Depth Determination for Moderate-Magnitude Earthquakes Using Global Teleseismic Data, J. geophys. Res., 124, 2, 1759-1780, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb016902.

He, J., Li, Y., Sandvol, E., Wu, Q., Du, G., Zhang, R., Yu, D., Liu, H., Lei, J. and Huang, J. (2019). Tomographic Pn Velocity and Anisotropy Structure in Mongolia and the Adjacent Regions, J. geophys. Res., 124, 4, 3662-3679, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb016440.

Lü, Z., Gao, H., Lei, J., Yang, X., Rathnayaka, S. and Li, C. (2019). Crustal and Upper Mantle Structure of the Tien Shan Orogenic Belt From Full-Wave Ambient Noise Tomography, J. geophys. Res., 124, 4, 3987-4000, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017387.

Yu, H., Harrington, R.M., Liu, Y. and Wang, B. (2019). Induced Seismicity Driven by Fluid Diffusion Revealed by a Near-Field Hydraulic Stimulation Monitoring Array in the Montney Basin,British Columbia, J. geophys. Res., 124, 5, 4694-4709, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb017039.

Wan, K., Lin, J., Xia, S., Sun, J., Xu, M., Yang, H., Zhou, Z., Zeng, X., Cao, J. and Xu, H. (2019). Deep Seismic Structure Across the Southernmost Mariana Trench: Implications for Arc Rifting and Plate Hydration, J. geophys. Res., 124, 5, 4710-4727, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb017080.

Wang, J., Xiao, Z., Liu, C., Zhao, D. and Yao, Z. (2019). Deep Learning for Picking Seismic Arrival Times, J. geophys. Res., 124, 7, 6612-6624, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017536.

Kwong, K.B., DeShon, H.R., Kim, J.W. and Lu, Z. (2019). Resolving Teleseismic Earthquake Catalog and InSAR Data Discrepancies in Absolute Space to Explore Rupture Complexity Along the Ecuadorian Megathrust Fault, J. geophys. Res., 124, 7, 6703-6719, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb016271.

Lü, Y., Li, J., Liu, L. and Zhao, L.-F. (2019). Complex Uppermost Mantle Structure and Deformation Beneath the Northwest Pacific Region, J. geophys. Res., 124, 7, 6866-6879, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017356.

Qiu, Q., Feng, L., Hermawan, I. and Hill, E.M. (2019). Coseismic and Postseismic Slip of the 2005 Mw 8.6 Nias-Simeulue Earthquake: Spatial Overlap and Localized Viscoelastic Flow, J. geophys. Res., 124, 7, 7445-7460, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018jb017263.

VanderBeek, B.P. and Toomey, D.R. (2019). Pn Tomography of the Juan de Fuca and Gorda Plates: Implications for Mantle Deformation and Hydration in the Oceanic Lithosphere, J. geophys. Res., 124, 8, 8565-8583, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017707.

Karasözen, E., Nissen, E., Bergman, E.A. and Ghods, A. (2019). Seismotectonics of the Zagros (Iran) From Orogen-Wide, Calibrated Earthquake Relocations, J. geophys. Res., 124, 8, 9109-9129, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019jb017336.

Anand, A.K. and Pradhan, S.P. (2019). Assessment of active tectonics from geomorphic indices and morphometric parameters in part of Ganga basin, J. Mountain Science, 16, 8, 1943-1961, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11629-018-5172-2.

Gusyakov, V.K., Kikhtenko, V.A., Chubarov, L.B. and Shokin, Y.I. (2019). Regional tsunami hazard maps for the Far East coast of

the Russian Federation built in the framework of the PTHA methodology, Journal of Computational Technologies, 24, 1, 55-72, http://doi.org/10.25743/ict.2019.24.1.005.

Soesilo, J., Sutanto, Retno Palupi, I., Raharjo, W., Hutomo, P. and Hadinoto, W. (2019). Reconstruction of meratus by subduction modelling around Java, Borneo and Celebes Islands, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1153, 012015, http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1153/1/012015.

Lidyana, V., Darsono, D. and Novita, S.S. (2019). Distribution of earthquake in subduction zone and calculation of subduction angle in the Central Sumatera based on earthquake data period 1967-2016, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1153, 012021, http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1153/1/012021.

Saputra, F.R.T., Syuhada, S. and Anggono, T. (2019). Double difference relocation of earthquakes in Sunda-Banda transition zone, Indonesia, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1191, 012012, http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1191/1/012012.

Asmaniar, Affandi, A.K., Sailah, S., Ardiansyah, S. and Wafiazizi, M. (2019). An analysis of Coulomb stress change and triggering interaction toward seismic activities in the area West Sumatera within January 2000-June 2018, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1282, 012036, http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1282/1/012036.

Puteri, D.M., Affandi, A.K., Sailah, S., Hudayat, N. and Zawawi, M.K. (2019). Analysis of peak ground acceleration (PGA) using the probabilistic seismic hazard analysis (PSHA) method for Bengkulu earthquake of 1900 - 2017 period, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 1282, 012054, http://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1282/1/012054.

Abd el-aziz, K.A. and Abdel Hafiez, H.E. (2019). Strength and ductility level earthquake design for Gulf of Suez oil platforms, J. Seismol., 23, 2, 199-215, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-018-9801-6.

Doski, J.A.H. (2019). Tectonic interpretation of the Raniya earthquake, Kurdistan, northern Iraq, J. Seismol., 23, 2, 303-318, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-018-9807-0.

Aouad, M., Van der Woerd, J., Dorbath, C. and Bounif, A. (2019). Sub-vertical low-velocity zone from P and S wave local tomography of the aftershock sequence of the Mw 5.9 Chenoua (Algeria) earthquake of October 29, 1989: relict of the Miocene Eurasia-Africa suture zone?, J. Seismol., 23, 3, 455-471, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09817-2.

Soghrat, M.R. and Ziyaeifar, M. (2019). Development of short return period spectra for the regions with high to moderate seismicity: an example in Iran, J. Seismol., 23, 3, 521-536, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09821-6.

Gamage, P. and Venkatesan, S. (2019). Evaluation of seismic hazard in low to moderate seismic regions, Sri Lanka - a case study, J. Seismol., 23, 3, 579-611, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09824-3.

Anbazhagan, P. and Balakumar, A. (2019). Seismic magnitude conversion and its effect on seismic hazard analysis, J. Seismol., 23, 4, 623-647, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09826-1.

Nath, S.K., Adhikari, M.D., Maiti, S.K. and Ghatak, C. (2019). Earthquake hazard potential of Indo-Gangetic Foredeep: its

seismotectonism, hazard, and damage modeling for the cities of Patna, Lucknow, and Varanasi, J. Seismol., 23, 4, 725-769, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09832-3.

Zolfaghari, M.R. and Darzi, A. (2019). A prediction model for vertical-to-horizontal ratios of PGA, PGV, and 5%-damped response spectra (0.01-10~s) for Iran, J. Seismol., 23, 4, 819-837, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09836-z.

Çıvgın, B. and Scordilis, E. (2019). Investigating the consistency of online earthquake catalogs of Turkey and surroundings, J. Seismol., 23, 6, 1255-1278, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09863-w.

Czecze, B. and Bondár, I. (2019). Hierarchical cluster analysis and multiple event relocation of seismic event clusters in Hungary between 2000 and 2016, J. Seismol., 23, 6, 1313-1326, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10950-019-09868-5.

Ciardelli, C. and Assumpção, M. (2019). Rupture lengths of intraplate earthquakes in Brazil determined by relative location of aftershocks: Evidence for depth dependence of stress drops, J. South Amer. Earth Sci., 89, 246-258, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2018.11.017.

Fernandez, G.A., Assumpção, M., Nieto, M., Griffiths, T. and Convers, J. (2019). Focal mechanism of the 5.1 Mw 2014 Lloja earthquake, Bolivia: Probing the transition between extensional stresses of the central Altiplano and compressional stresses of the sub-Andes, J. South Amer. Earth Sci., 91, 102-107, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsames.2019.01.001.

Fan, X., Xu, Q., Alonso-Rodriguez, A., Siva Subramanian, S., Li, W., Zheng, G., Dong, X. and Huang, R. (2019). Successive landsliding and damming of the Jinsha River in eastern Tibet, China: prime investigation, early warning, and emergency response, Landslides, 16, 5, 1003-1020, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-019-01159-x.

Wang, F., Fan, X., Yunus, A.P., Subramanian, S.S., Alonso-Rodriguez, A., Dai, L., Xu, Q. and Huang, R. (2019). Coseismic landslides triggered by the 2018 Hokkaido, Japan (Mw 6.6), earthquake: spatial distribution, controlling factors, and possible failure mechanism, Landslides, 16, 8, 1551-1566, http://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-019-01187-7.

Ruscic, M., Bocchini, G.M., Becker, D., Meier, T. and van Keken, P.E. (2019). Variable spatio-temporal clustering of microseismicity in the Hellenic Subduction Zone as possible indicator for fluid migration, Lithos, 346-347, 105154, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.105154.

Sippl, C., Schurr, B., John, T. and Hainzl, S. (2019). Filling the gap in a double seismic zone: Intraslab seismicity in Northern Chile, Lithos, 346-347, 105155, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.lithos.2019.105155.

Ferreira, A.M.G., Faccenda, M., Sturgeon, W., Chang, S.-J. and Schardong, L. (2019). Ubiquitous lower-mantle anisotropy beneath subduction zones, Nat. Geosci., 12, 4, 301-306, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-019-0325-7.

Setyawan, B. and Sapiie, B. (2019). Correlation between the fractal of aftershock spatial distribution and active fault on Sumatra, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci. Discuss., http://doi.org/10.5194/nhess-2019-215.

Baruah, S., Bramha, A., Sharma, S. and Baruah, S. (2019). Strong ground motion parameters of the 18 September 2011 Sikkim Earthquake Mw=6.9 and its analysis: a recent seismic hazard scenario, Natural Hazards, 97, 3, 1001-1023, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03683-4.

Pailoplee, S. and Chenphanut, P. (2019). Quantitative mapping of precursory seismicity rate changes along the Indonesian island chain, Natural Hazards, 97, 3, 1115-1126, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03689-y.

Rodríguez-Lomelí, A.G. and García-Mayordomo, J. (2019). Seismic hazard at a triple plate junction: the state of Chiapas México, Natural Hazards, 97, 3, 1297-1325, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03710-4.

Waseem, M., Khan, M.A. and Khan, S. (2019). Seismic sources for southern Pakistan and seismic hazard assessment of Karachi, Natural Hazards, 99, 1, 511-536, http://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-019-03755-5.

Johansen, S.E., Panzner, M., Mittet, R., Amundsen, H.E.F., Lim, A., Vik, E., Landrø, M. and Arntsen, B. (2019). Deep electrical imaging of the ultraslow-spreading Mohns Ridge, Nature, 567, 7748, 379-383, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1010-0.

Ghazoui, Z., Bertrand, S., Vanneste, K., Yokoyama, Y., Nomade, J., Gajurel, A.P. and van der Beek, P.A. (2019). Potentially large post-1505 AD earthquakes in western Nepal revealed by a lake sediment record, Nature Communications, 10, 1, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-10093-4.

Zhang, H., Wang, F., Myhill, R. and Guo, H. (2019). Slab morphology and deformation beneath Izu-Bonin, Nature Communications, 10, 1, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09279-7.

Matcharashvili, T., Czechowski, Z. and Zhukova, N. (2019). Mahalanobis distance-based recognition of changes in the dynamics of a seismic process, Nonlin. Processes Geophys., 26, 3, 291-305, http://doi.org/10.5194/npg-26-291-2019.

Braclawska, A. and Idziak, A.F. (2019). Unification of data from various seismic catalogues to study seismic activity in the Carpathians Mountain arc, Open Geosciences, 11, 1, 837-842, http://doi.org/10.1515/geo-2019-0065.

Nabi, A., Liu, X., Gong, Z., Ali, A. and Khalil, U. (2019). Seismic potential and neotectonic studies at Karachi, Gadap and Hub areas, southern Kirthar Fold Belt, Pakistan, Pacific International Journal, 2, 2, 76-86.

Frost, D.A. and Romanowicz, B. (2019). On the orientation of the fast and slow directions of anisotropy in the deep inner core, Phys. Earth planet. Interiors, 286, 101-110, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2018.11.006.

Fan, J. and Zhao, D. (2019). P-wave anisotropic tomography of the central and southern Philippines, Phys. Earth planet. Interiors, 286, 154-164, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2018.12.00

Orecchio, B., Scolaro, S., Batlló, J., Ferrari, G., Presti, D. and Stich, D. (2019). A reappraisal of the 1978 Ferruzzano earthquake (southern Italy) from new estimates of hypocenter location and moment tensor inversion, Phys. Earth planet. Interiors, 289, 34-44, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2019.02.003.

Wang, Z., Zhao, D., Gao, R. and Hua, Y. (2019). Complex subduction beneath the Tibetan plateau: A slab warping model, Phys. Earth planet. Interiors, 292, 42-54, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2019.04.007.

Vandana and Mishra, O.P. (2019). Source characteristics of the NW Himalaya and its adjoining region: Geodynamical implications, Phys. Earth planet. Interiors, 294, 106277, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2019.106277.

Dwivedi, D., Chamoli, A. and Pandey, A.K. (2019). Crustal structure and lateral variations in Moho beneath the Delhi fold belt, NW India: Insight from gravity data modeling and inversion, Phys. Earth planet. Interiors, 297, 106317, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2019.106317.

Ahadov, B. and Jin, S. (2019). Effects of Coulomb stress changeon Mw > 6earthquakes in the Caucasus region, Phys. Earthplanet.Interiors, 297, 106326,http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pepi.2019.106326.

Wu, M.-H., Wang, J.P. and Ku, K.-W. (2019). Earthquake, Poisson and Weibull distributions, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications, 526, 121001, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.physa.2019.04.237.

Morozov, A.N., Vaganova, N.V. and Konechnaya, Y.V. (2019). The October 14, 1908 Mw 6.6 earthquake in the Barents and Kara sea region of the Arctic: Relocation based on instrumental data, Polar Sci., 20, 160-166, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.polar.2019.05.001.

Alam, E. (2019). Importance of long-term earthquake, tsunami and tropical cyclone data for disaster risk reduction in Bangladesh, Progress in Disaster Science, 2, 100019, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.pdisas.2019.100019.

Abbes, K., Dorbath, C., Dorbath, L., Bouhadad, Y., Ousadou, F. and Bezzeghoud, M. (2019). Revisiting the Laalam (Eastern Algeria) March 20, 2006 (Mw 5.1) Earthquake and its Seismotectonic Implication, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 10, 4213-4222, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02206-3.

Vakarchuk, R.N., Mäntyniemi, P. and Tatevossian, R.E. (2019). On the Effect of Synthetic and Real Data Properties on Seismic Intensity Prediction Equations, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 10, 4261-4275, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02204-5.

Prasath, R.A., Paul, A. and Singh, S. (2019). Earthquakes in the Garhwal Himalaya of the Central Seismic Gap: A Study of Historical and Present Seismicity and Their Implications to the Seismotectonics, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 11, 4661-4685, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02239-8.

Ali, S.M. and Badreldin, H. (2019). Present-Day Stress Field in Egypt Based on a Comprehensive and Updated Earthquake Focal Mechanisms Catalog, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 11, 4729-4760, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02262-9.

Bajaj, S. and Sharma, M.L. (2019). Modeling Earthquake Recurrence in the Himalayan Seismic Belt Using Time-Dependent Stochastic Models: Implications for Future Seismic Hazards, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 12, 5261-5278, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02270-9.

Shreyasvi, C., Venkataramana, K., Chopra, S. and Rout, M.M. (2019). Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Assessment of Mangalore and Its Adjoining Regions, A Part of Indian Peninsular: An

Intraplate Region, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 6, 2263-2297, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02110-w.

Martin, S.S., Li, L., Okal, E.A., Morin, J., Tetteroo, A.E.G., Switzer, A.D. and Sieh, K.E. (2019). Reassessment of the 1907 Sumatra "Tsunami Earthquake" Based on Macroseismic, Seismological, and Tsunami Observations, and Modeling, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 7, 2831-2868, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02134-2.

Ramírez-Herrera, M.T., Corona, N. and Castillo-Aja, R. (2019). Revealing the Source of the 27 August 1810 Loreto, Baja California, Tsunami from Historical Evidence and Numerical Modelling, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 7, 2951-2967, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02161-z.

Salamat, M., Zöller, G. and Amini, M. (2019). Prediction of the Maximum Expected Earthquake Magnitude in Iran: From a Catalog with Varying Magnitude of Completeness and Uncertain Magnitudes, Pure appl. Geophys., 176, 8, 3425-3438, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02141-3.

Haque, D.M.E., Chowdhury, S.H. and Khan, N.W. (2019). Intensity Attenuation Model Evaluation for Bangladesh with Respect to the Surrounding Potential Seismotectonic Regimes, Pure appl. Geophys., 177, 1, 157-179, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02230-3.

Ghosh, U. (2019). Seismic Characteristics and Seismic Hazard Assessment: Source Region of the 2015 Nepal Earthquake Mw 7.8 in Central Himalaya, Pure appl. Geophys., 177, 1, 181-194, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02318-w.

Bocchini, G.M., Novikova, T., Papadopoulos, G.A., Agalos, A., Mouzakiotis, E., Karastathis, V. and Voulgaris, N. (2019). Tsunami Potential of Moderate Earthquakes: The July 1, 2009 Earthquake (Mw 6.45) and its Associated Local Tsunami in the Hellenic Arc, Pure appl. Geophys., 177, 3, 1315-1333, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02246-9.

Baptista, M.A. (2019). Tsunamis Along the Azores Gibraltar Plate Boundary, Pure appl. Geophys., ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02344-8.

Hamidatou, M., Yahia, M., Yelles-Chaouche, A., Thallak, I., Stromeyer, D., Lebdioui, S., Cotton, F., Hallal, N. and Khemici, O. (2019). Seismic Hazard Analysis of Surface Level, Using Topographic Condition in the Northeast of Algeria, Pure appl. Geophys., ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.1007/s00024-019-02310-4.

Raykova, R., Dimova, L. and F., P.G. (2019). Lithosphereasthenosphere velocity structure along the Transmed VII section (Moesian platform – Aegean Sea), Review of the Bulgarian Geological Society, 80, 105-107.

Halpaap, F., Rondenay, S., Perrin, A., Goes, S., Ottemöller, L., Austrheim, H., Shaw, R. and Eeken, T. (2019). Earthquakes track subduction fluids from slab source to mantle wedge sink, Science Advances, 5, 4, eaav7369, http://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav7369.

Bai, L., Klemperer, S.L., Mori, J., Karplus, M.S., Ding, L., Liu, H., Li, G., Song, B. and Dhakal, S. (2019). Lateral variation of the Main Himalayan Thrust controls the rupture length of the 2015 Gorkha earthquake in Nepal, Science Advances, 5, 6, eaav0723, http://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.aav0723.

Eluyemi, A.A., Baruah, S. and Baruah, S. (2019). Empirical relationships of earthquake magnitude scales and estimation of Guttenberg-Richter parameters in gulf of Guinea region, Scientific African, 6, e00161, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.sciaf.2019.e00161.

Sawires, R., Santoyo, M.A., Peláez, J.A. and Corona Fernández, R.D. (2019). An updated and unified earthquake catalog from 1787 to 2018 for seismic hazard assessment studies in Mexico, Scientific Data, 6, 1, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-019-0234-z.

Fuchs, F., Schneider, F.M., Kolínský, P., Serafin, S. and Bokelmann, G. (2019). Rich observations of local and regional infrasound phases made by the AlpArray seismic network after refinery explosion, Sci. Rep., 9, 1, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-49494-2.

Matsumoto, H., Zampolli, M., Haralabus, G., Stanley, J., Mattila, J. and Özel, N.M. (2019). Interpretation of detections of volcanic activity at loto Island obtained from in situ seismometers and remote hydrophones of the International Monitoring System, Sci. Rep., 9, 19519, http://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-55918-w.

Tatevossian, R.E., Rogozhin, E.A., Sysolin, A.I., Kalinina, A.V. and Ammosov, S.M. (2019). Scarps Generated by Erosion and Sedimentation, Seismic Instruments, 55, 2, 172-184, http://doi.org/10.3103/s0747923919020117.

Zhuravlev, V.I. and Sidorin, A.Y. (2019). Features of the Seismic Regime of the Mid-Atlantic Ridge, Seismic Instruments, 55, 4, 377-387, http://doi.org/10.3103/s0747923919040133.

Burmin, V.Y., Shemeleva, I.B., Avetisyan, A.M. and Kazaryan, K.S. (2019). Deep Earthquakes in the Caucasus: Recalculation Results, Seismic Instruments, 55, 6, 650-660, http://doi.org/10.3103/s074792391906001x.

Caciagli, M., Pucci, S., Batlló, J., Cesca, S. and Braun, T. (2019). Did the Deadly 1917 Monterchi Earthquake Occur on the Low-Angle Alto Tiberina (Central Italy) Normal Fault?, Seismol. Res. Lett., 90, 3, 1131-1144, http://doi.org/10.1785/0220180155.

Quadros, L., Assumpção, M. and Trindade de Souza, A.P. (2019). Seismic Intensity Attenuation for Intraplate Earthquakes in Brazil with the Re-Evaluation of Historical Seismicity, Seismol. Res. Lett., 90, 6, 2217-2226, http://doi.org/10.1785/0220190120.

Battimelli, E., Adinolfi, G.M., Amoroso, O. and Capuano, P. (2019). Seismic Activity in the Central Adriatic Offshore of Italy: A Review of the 1987 ML~5 Porto San Giorgio Earthquake, Seismol. Res. Lett., ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.1785/0220190048.

Shiddiqi, H.A., Tun, P.P. and Ottemöller, L. (2019). Minimum 1D Velocity Model and Local Magnitude Scale for Myanmar, Seismol. Res. Lett., ahead of print, http://doi.org/10.1785/0220190065.

Dickey, J., Borghetti, B. and Junek, W. (2019). Improving Regional and Teleseismic Detection for Single-Trace Waveforms Using a Deep Temporal Convolutional Neural Network Trained with an Array-Beam Catalog, Sensors, 19, 3, 597, http://doi.org/10.3390/s19030597.

Ding, Y., Mavroeidis, G.P. and Theodoulidis, N.P. (2019). Simulation of strong ground motion from the 1995 Mw 6.5 Kozani-Grevena, Greece, earthquake using a hybrid deterministicstochastic approach, Soil Dyn. Earthquake Eng., 117, 357-373, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.soildyn.2018.11.013. Plaza-Faverola, A. and Keiding, M. (2019). Correlation between tectonic stress regimes and methane seepage on the western Svalbard margin, Solid Earth, 10, 1, 79-94, http://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-79-2019.

Hinsch, R., Asmar, C., Nasim, M., Abbas, M.A. and Sultan, S. (2019). Linked thick- to thin-skinned inversion in the central Kirthar Fold Belt of Pakistan, Solid Earth, 10, 2, 425-446, http://doi.org/10.5194/se-10-425-2019.

Blom, N., Gokhberg, A. and Fichtner, A. (2019). Seismic waveform tomography of the Central and Eastern Mediterranean upper mantle, Solid Earth Discuss., http://doi.org/10.5194/se-2019-152.

Suárez, G., Caballero-Jiménez, G.V. and Novelo-Casanova, D.A.

(2019). Active Crustal Deformation in the Trans-Mexican Volcanic Belt as Evidenced by Historical Earthquakes During the Last 450 Years, Tectonics, 38, 10, 3544-3562, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019tc005601.

Robertson, J., Meschis, M., Roberts, G.P., Ganas, A. and Gheorghiu, D.M. (2019). Temporally Constant Quaternary Uplift Rates and Their Relationship With Extensional Upper-Plate Faults in South Crete (Greece), Constrained With 36Cl Cosmogenic Exposure Dating, Tectonics, 38, 4, 1189-1222, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018tc005410.

Grützner, C., Campbell, G., Walker, R.T., Jackson, J., Mackenzie, D., Abdrakhmatov, K. and Mukambayev, A. (2019). Shortening Accommodated by Thrust and Strike-Slip Faults in the Ili Basin, Northern Tien Shan, Tectonics, 38, 7, 2255-2274, http://doi.org/10.1029/2018tc005459.

Scharf, A., Mattern, F., Moraetis, D., Callegari, I. and Weidle, C. (2019). Postobductional Kinematic Evolution and Geomorphology of a Major Regional Structure - The Semail Gap Fault Zone (Oman Mountains), Tectonics, 38, 8, 2756-2778, http://doi.org/10.1029/2019tc005588.

Tondi, R., Vuan, A., Borghi, A. and Argnani, A. (2019). Integrated crustal model beneath the Po Plain (Northern Italy) from surface wave tomography and Bouguer gravity data, Tectonophysics, 750, 262-279, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.10.018.

Chen, J., Chen, Y.J., Wiens, D.A., Shawn Wei, S., Zha, Y., Julià, J. and Cai, C. (2019). Crustal and lithospheric structure of inactive volcanic arc terrains in Fiji, Tectonophysics, 750, 394-403, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.07.014.

Wessels, R.J.F., Ellouz-Zimmermann, N., Bellahsen, N., Hamon, Y., Rosenberg, C., Deschamps, R., Momplaisir, R., Boisson, D. and Leroy, S. (2019). Polyphase tectonic history of the Southern Peninsula, Haiti: from folding-and-thrusting to transpressive strikeslip, Tectonophysics, 751, 125-149, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2018.12.011.

Rivas, C., Ortiz, G., Alvarado, P., Podesta, M. and Martin, A. (2019). Modern crustal seismicity in the northern Andean Precordillera, Argentina, Tectonophysics, 762, 144-158, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.04.019.

Wagner, L.S. and Okal, E.A. (2019). The Pucallpa Nest and its
constraints on the geometry of the Peruvian Flat Slab,
Tectonophysics, 762, 97-108,
http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.04.021.

Chai, C., Ammon, C.J. and Cleveland, K.M. (2019). Aftershocks of the 2012 Off-Coast of Sumatra Earthquake Sequence, Tectonophysics, 763, 61-72, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.04.028.

Aung, L.T., Martin, S.S., Wang, Y., Wei, S., Thant, M., Htay, K.N., Aung, H.M., Kyaw, T.Z., Min, S., Sithu, K., Naing, T., Khaing, S.N., Oo, K.M., Suresh, G., Chen, W., Maung, P.M. and Gahalaut, V. (2019). A comprehensive assessment of ground motions from two 2016 intra-slab earthquakes in Myanmar, Tectonophysics, 765, 146-160, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.04.016.

Mohammadi, H., Quigley, M., Steacy, S. and Duffy, B. (2019). Effects of source model variations on Coulomb stress analyses of a multi-fault intraplate earthquake sequence, Tectonophysics, 766, 151-166, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.06.007.

Ranjbar-Karami, R., Rajabi, M., Ghavidel, A. and Afroogh, A. (2019). Contemporary tectonic stress pattern of the Persian Gulf Basin, Iran, Tectonophysics, 766, 219-231, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.06.017.

Cui, Q., Zhou, Y., Li, W., Wei, R. and Li, G. (2019). Seismic evidence for the 410 km discontinuity beneath the Hindu Kush-Pamir region from the SdP converted phases, Tectonophysics, 766, 31-39, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.06.001.

Rashidi, A., Khatib, M.M., Nilfouroushan, F., Derakhshani, R., Mousavi, S.M., Kianimehr, H. and Djamour, Y. (2019). Strain rate and stress fields in the West and South Lut block, Iran: Insights from the inversion of focal mechanism and geodetic data, Tectonophysics, 766, 94-114, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.05.020.

León-Ríos, S., Agurto-Detzel, H., Rietbrock, A., Alvarado, A., Beck, S., Charvis, P., Edwards, B., Font, Y., Garth, T., Hoskins, M., Lynner, C., Meltzer, A., Nocquet, J.M., Regnier, M., Rolandone, F., Ruiz, M. and Soto-Cordero, L. (2019). 1D-velocity structure and seismotectonics of the Ecuadorian margin inferred from the 2016 Mw 7.8 Pedernales aftershock sequence, Tectonophysics, 767, 228165, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.228165.

Jang, H., Kim, Y., Lim, H. and Clayton, R.W. (2019). Seismic attenuation structure of southern Peruvian subduction system, Tectonophysics, 771, 228203, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.228203.

Núñez, D., Córdoba, D. and Kissling, E. (2019). Seismic structure of the crust in the western Dominican Republic, Tectonophysics, 773, 228224, http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tecto.2019.228224.

Patel, R.C., Manmohan, Karnwal, R. and Kumar, R. (2019). Tectonic control on exhumation and seismicity in the Garhwal-Kumaun Himalaya, NW-India, Terra Nova, 32, 1, 23-33, http://doi.org/10.1111/ter.12432.

Dahmoune, B. and Mansour, H. (2019). Algerian northwestern seismic hazard evaluation based on the Markov model, The Mining-Geology-Petroleum Engineering Bulletin, 34, 1, 113-125, http://doi.org/10.17794/rgn.2019.1.10.

Nwankwo, C.N. and Ettah, A.A. (2019). Characteristics of Earthquakes along the East African rift and the Mid-Atlantic ridge, World Scientific News, 120, 2, 60-80.

Abong, A.A. (2019). Evaluation of b-value variation of earthquakes in Papua New Guinea, World Scientific News, 132, 155-168.

Anthony, N., Archimbaud, M., Beeck, S.S., Bjorge-Engeland, I., Bogacz, E., Camplone, V., Eizinger, M., Galetsky, V., Noeker, M., Salfenmoser, L., Savu, E.A., Stefko, M., Weterings, E.F.M., Woodwark, A.J.P. and Zeif, R. (2019). GRAVL: GRAvity observations by Vertical Laser ranging, Alpbach Summer School, Report.

Burbidge, D.R., Power, W.L., Rhoades, D.A., Lin, S.-L., Christophersen, A. and Becker, J.S. (2019). Excercise injects for a Hikurangi Subduction Zone earthquake aftershock sequence, GNS Science Consultancy Report, Report 2019/130, 1-23.

Aourari, S., Machane, D.amdHaddoum and Sidi Said, N. (2019). Delineate of potential seismic sources for seismic hazard assessment. Case of study: Sétif region - Eastern Algeria, 8th Conf. on Seismology and Earthquake Engineering.

Smirnov, S., Mikhailov, Y., Mikhailova, G. and Kapustina, O. (2019). Winter thunderstorms in Kamchatka, E3S Web of Conferences, Solar-Terrestrial Relations and Physics of Earthquake Precursors, 127, 01011, http://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/2019127010.

Pilz, M., Fleming, K., Boxberger, T. and Orunbaev, S. (2019). Structural health monitoring of the Kurpsai dam in the Kyrgyz Republic, Fifth Conf. on Smart Monitoring, Assessment and Rehabilitation of Civil Structures, 1-8.

Sharma, A. and Kolathayar, S. (2019). Seismic Hazard Assessment of Gujrat International Finance Tec-City, In: Bezvijen A., Wittke W., Poulos H., Shehata H. (eds) Latest Advancements in Underground Structures and Geological Engineering. GeoMEast 2019. Sustainable Civil Infrastructures. Springer, Cham, 20-28, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-34178-7_3.

Abdurrachman, M., Widiyantoro, S. and Abdul Alim, M.Z. (2019). New Model of Wadati-Benioff Zone in Java-Sumatra Subduction System and Its Tectonic Implication, In: Doronzo D., Schingaro E., Armstrong-Altrin J., Zoheir B. (eds) Petrogenesis and Exploration of the Earth's Interior. Advances in Science, Technology and Innovation (IEREK Interdisciplinary Series for Sustainable Development). Springer, Cham, 21-24, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01575-6_5.

Ivanchenko, G. and Kishkina, S. (2019). Formalized Lineament Analysis as a Basis for Seismic Monitoring of Platform Areas, In: Kocharyan G., Lyakhov A. (eds), Trigger Effects in Geosystems. Springer Proceed. Earth and Environmental Sciences. Springer, Cham, 61-70, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-31970-0_7.

Suhatril, M., Othman, I., Shuib, M.K., Sari, P.A., Hendriyawan, Samsuri, M.R., Yusop, H. and Ismail, M.N. (2019). Review on Seismic Hazard Parameters for Design and Construction of Large Dams in Peninsular Malaysia, In: Mohd Sidek L., Salih G., Boosroh M. (eds), ICDSME 2019: Water Resources Development and Management. Springer, Singapore, 38-50, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1971-0 5.

Carlton, B.D. and Kaynia, A.M. (2019). PSHA of Oslo, Norway, using smoothed gridded seismicity source zones and NGA East ground motion models, In: Silvestri and Moraci (Eds). Earthquake Geotechnical Engineering for Protection and Development of

Environment and Constructions, Ass. Geotec. Ital., Rome, Italy, 1621-1628.

Waromi, D. and Muslim, D. (2019). Mapping the Level of Earthquake Risk of Holtekamp Bridge at Jayapura Region Based on Earthquake Data and Microtremor Measurement, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 248, 012037, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/248/1/012037.

Banyunegoro, V.H., Alatas, Z.A., Jihad, A., Eridawati and Muksin, U. (2019). Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis for Aceh Region, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 273, 012015, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/273/1/012015.

Sipayung, R., Sianipar, D., Prayoedhie, S., Daryono, Arifin, J., Simanjuntak, A.V.H., Muksin, U., Prabu, S., Daniarsyad, G., Haryanta, Putranto, N. and Azimi, A. (2019). Revisiting The 2018 Kalibening Earthquake Sequence In Central Java: Call for the Revision of Earthquake Hazard, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 273, 012018, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/273/1/012018.

Rafie, M.T., Sahara, D.P., Widiyantoro, S. and Nugraha, A.D. (2019). Impact of The 2004 Sumatra-Andaman Earthquake to The Stress Heterogeneity and Seismicity Pattern in Northern Sumatra, Indonesia, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 318, 012010, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/318/1/012010.

Ratna, P.N., Gusman, A.R. and Nugraha, A.D. (2019). Teleseismic body wave inversion in determining rupture process of Sumbawa doublet earthquake, November 25th, 2007, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 318, 012022, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/318/1/012022.

Budiman, R., Sahara, D.P. and Nugraha, A.D. (2019). Determining Source Model and Aftershocks of 2006 Yogyakarta Earthquake, Indonesia using Coulomb Stress Change, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 318, 012026, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/318/1/012026.

Sycheva, N.A. and Mansurov, A.N. (2019). Seismotectonic deformations of earth crust in Pamir and neighbour areas, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 324, 012012, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/324/1/012012.

Oros, E., Placinta, A.O., Popa, M., Rogozea, M. and Paulescu, D. (2019). Attenuation of Macroseismic Intensity for Crustal Romanian Earthquakes: Calibrating the Bakun-Wentworth's Method, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 362, 012026, http://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/362/1/012026.

Muslih Purwana, Y., Harya D. H. I., R., Setiawan, B. and Aulawi, N. (2019). Seismic hazard analysis for Sutami Dam using probabilistic method, MATEC Web of Conferences, 276, 05012.

Feenstra, J., Hull, A., Li, F., Fraser, J., Howard, M. and McMorran, T. (2019). Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis for Offshore Bangladesh Including Fault Sources, Proc. 2019 Pacific Conf. Earthq. Eng., Auckland, New Zealand, 4-6 April, 1-11.

Das, S. (2019). Unusual large earthquakes on oceanic transform faults, Proceed. Intern. School Phys. "Enrico Fermi": Mechanics of Earthquake Faulting, 202, 33-52, http://doi.org/10.3254/978-1-61499-979-9-33.

Kellogg, J.N., Franco Camelio, G.B. and Mora-Páez, H. (2019). Cenozoic tectonic evolution of the North Andes with constraints from volcanic ages, seismic reflection, and satellite geodesy, In: Horton, B.K. and Folguera, A. (eds), Andean Tectonics, Elsevier, 69-102, http://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-816009-1.00006-x.

Lay, T. (2019). Rupture Process of the 2008 Wenchuan, China, Earthquake: A Review, In: Li Y.G. (eds) Earthquake and Disaster Risk: Decade Retrospective of the Wenchuan Earthquake. Springer, Singapore, 31-67, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8015-0_2.

Binnani, N., Kumar Khare, R., Golubev, V.I. and Petrov, I.B. (2019). Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis of Punasa Dam Site in India, In: Petrov I., Favorskaya A., Favorskaya M., Simakov S., Jain L. (eds) Smart Modeling for Engineering Systems. GCM50 2018. Smart Innovation, Systems and Technologies, vol 133. Springer, Cham, 105-119, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-06228-6_10.

Ligi, M., Bonatti, E., Bosworth, W. and Ronca, S. (2019). Oceanization Starts at Depth During Continental Rupturing in the Northern Red Sea, In: Rasul N., Stewart I. (eds), Geological Setting, Palaeoenvironment and Archaeology of the Red Sea. Springer, Cham, 131-157, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99408-6_7.

Aourari, S., Machane, D., Haddoum, H., Sedrati, S., Sidi Saîd, N. and Bouziane, D. (2019). Neotectonic Analysis of an Alpine Strike Slip Fault Zone, Constantine Region, Eastern Algeria,In: Rossetti F. et al. (eds) The Structural Geology Contribution to the Africa-Eurasia Geology: Basement and Reservoir Structure, Ore Mineralisation and Tectonic Modelling. Advances inScience, Technology and Innovation, Springer, Cham, 279-284, http://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01455-1_61.

Omira, R., Neres, M. and Batista, L. (2019). The Gloria Transform Fault-NE Atlantic: Seismogenic and Tsunamigenic Potential, Transform Plate Boundaries and Fracture Zones, 157-167, http://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-812064-4.00008-6.

Rutter, E.H. and Valetti, L. (2019). Stretching Transforms-Mediterranean Examples From the Betic-Alborán, Tyrrhenian-Calabrian and Aegean-Anatolia Regions, Transform Plate Boundaries and Fracture Zones, 301-320, http://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-812064-4.00012-8.

Wessels, R.J.F. (2019). Strike-Slip Fault Systems Along the Northern Caribbean Plate Boundary, Transform Plate Boundaries and Fracture Zones, 375-395, http://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-12-812064-4.00015-3.

Patton, J. (2019). Earthquake Report: Sunda Strait, Indonesia, URL: http://earthjay.com/?p=8906.